arXiv:1212.3832v1 [math.PR] 16 Dec 2012

Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes driven by cylindrical Lévy processes

Markus Riedle*
Department of Mathematics
King's College
London WC2R 2LS
United Kingdom

December 18, 2012

Abstract

In this article we study the stochastic Cauchy problem driven by a cylindrical Lévy process. Here, a cylindrical Lévy process is understood in the classical framework of cylindrical random variables and cylindrical measures, and thus, it can be considered as a natural generalisation of cylindrical Wiener processes or white noises. The first part of the work is devoted to develop a stochastic integration theory for deterministic, operator-valued integrands with respect to cylindrical Lévy processes and to provide necessary and sufficient conditions for a function to be integrable. In the second part, we apply the developed theory to derive the existence of a solution for the Cauchy problem and to establish spatial and temporal regularity properties of the solution. In particular, the developed theory enables us to give a simple criterion for the existence of a solution with càdlàg paths for a large class of the stochastic Cauchy problems. In several examples, we show how our results can be applied to specific equations and noises, and thus, known results in the literature are covered by our general theory.

1 Introduction

The degree of freedom of models in infinite dimensions is often reflected by the constraint that each mode along a one-dimensional subspace is independently perturbed by the noise. In the Gaussian setting, this leads to the *cylindrical Wiener process* including from a modeling point of view the very important possibility to describe a Gaussian noise in both time and space with a great flexibility, i.e. space-time white noise. Up to very recently, there has been no analogue for Lévy processes. The notion *cylindrical Lévy process* appears the first time in the monograph [24] by Peszat and Zabczyk and it is followed by the works Brzeźniak et al [3], Brzeźniak and Zabczyk [4], Liu and Zhai [17], Peszat and Zabczyk [25] and Priola and Zabczyk [26]. The first systematic introduction of cylindrical Lévy processes appears in our work Applebaum and Riedle [1] which models a very general, discontinuous noise occurring in the time and state space and generalising the cylindrical Wiener process in a natural way.

---

*markus.riedle@kcl.ac.uk

The above mentioned publications ([3], [4], [17], [25], [26]) study the solution of stochastic evolution equations of the form

$$dY(t) = AY(t)\,dt + dL(t) \qquad \text{for all } t \geqslant 0, \tag{1.1}$$

where A is the generator of a strongly continuous semigroup on a Hilbert or Banach space. The driving noise L differs in these publications but it is always constructed in an explicit way and it is referred to by the authors as *Lévy white noise*, *cylindrical stable process* or just *Lévy noise*. The works each have a different focus, however they all treat fundamental questions, such as the existence of the solution, spatial regularity and temporal regularity of the solution. More specifically, temporal regularity most often refers to the important question of whether the solution has right-continuous trajectories with limits from the left, i.e. *càdlàg* paths. After the surprising observation had been noted in the monograph [24] that for a rather simple example of equation (1.1), the solution might not have càdlàg paths, the question of the existence of a solution with càdlàg trajectories has gained much attention in the last years. For example in [3], [4] and [26], it was also observed for other examples of cylindrical Lévy noise, that the solution cannot have càdlàg paths. The only positive result, guaranteeing the existence of a solution with càdlàg paths, was very recently published in the article [25] and requires rather restrictive conditions on the driving noise and the generator.

In contrast to the above mentioned publications, which only consider specific instances of cylindrical Lévy processes, the objective of our work is to develop a theory in the systematic framework of cylindrical processes and radonifying operators to treat evolution equations of the form (1.1). As we have already done in [1], we consider a cylindrical Lévy process by following the classical approach to cylindrical measures and cylindrical processes. In this systematic framework we develop a theory of stochastic integration, which enables us to give explicit conditions guaranteeing the existence of the solution of equation (1.1). We apply the developed theory to study spatial and temporal regularity of the solution. In particular, the systematic approach enables us to derive a very simple criterion for the existence of a solution with càdlàg paths for a large class of equations of the form (1.1), as it is treated in [25]. Many of the results in the above mentioned publications, which often require extensive theoretical but tailored development, turn out to be rather simple examples in our approach.

Our methodology, based on cylindrical measures and radonifying operators, provides generic tools and methods to study the equation under consideration, instead of results tailored to some specific kinds of noise. We believe that our systematic approach provides a deeper insight into phenomena, which the solution of equation (1.1) might exhibit. Furthermore, our methodology does not require us to embed the equation into a larger space, whereas this is often necessary in other approaches in the literature. The embedding into larger spaces often leads to the unnatural situation of formulating conditions in terms of the larger space, which is not related to the equation under consideration.

The organisation of this paper is as follows. In Section 2 we collect some preliminaries on cylindrical measures and cylindrical random variables, which can be found for example in Badrikian [2] and Schwartz [31]. In Section 3 we recall the definition of a cylindrical Lévy process, based on our paper [1] with Applebaum, and we cite some results on its characteristics from Riedle [28]. A technical property of cylindrical Lévy processes, which plays a fundamental role in several proofs in this paper, is derived in this section. The following Section 4 provides several examples of cylindrical Lévy process. In particular, we show that the noises, considered in the above mentioned publications, are covered by our systematic approach. In Section 5 we develop a theory of stochastic integration for deterministic, operator-valued integrands with respect to cylindrical Lévy processes. Surprisingly, integration with respect to other cylindrical processes than the cylindrical Wiener process is only considered in few works. In fact, we are only aware of two approaches to integration with respect to cylindrical martingales, which originate either in the work developed by Métivier and Pellaumail in [19] and [20] or by Mikulevičius and Rozovskiĭ in [21] and [22]. Both constructions rely heavily on the assumed existence of weak second moments of the cylindrical martingales and thus, they are not applicable in our framework. For cylindrical Lévy processes with weak second moments, an integration theory is introduced in Riedle [29]. In Section 6 we apply the developed integration theory to treat the stochastic Cauchy problem. In Section 7 we demonstrate how our systematic approach relates the question of spatial regularity of the solution of (1.1) to integrability of the corresponding semigroup and thus, how the question can be answered by the previously derived results. In an example we derive the result from Brzeźniak and Zabzcyk [4] on spatial regularity by our general results. For the classical case, if the driving noise in equation (1.1) is a genuine Lévy process, Hausenblas and Seidler [11] establish the existence of a solution with càdlàg trajectories by applying a dilation theorem by Sz.-Nagy et al, see [32]. The developed integration theory enables us to refine this method to obtain a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a solution with càdlàg paths if the driving noise is cylindrical. This result leads to a simple criterium for the existence of a solution with càdlàg paths for a class of equations of the form (1.1), as it is treated in [25]. This criterium can be considered as a natural generalisation of the analogue result ([5, Th.5.20]) in the case of cylindrical Wiener processes and it improves significantly the corresponding result in [25].

2 Preliminaries

Let U be a separable Banach space with dual U^*. The dual pairing is denoted by $\langle u, u^*\rangle$ for $u \in U$ and $u^* \in U^*$. The Borel σ-algebra in U is denoted by $\mathcal{B}(U)$ and the closed unit ball at the origin by $B_U := \{u \in U : \|u\| \leqslant 1\}$.
For every $u_1^*, \dots, u_n^* \in U^*$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we define a linear map

$$\pi_{u_1^*,\dots,u_n^*} : U \to \mathbb{R}^n, \qquad \pi_{u_1^*,\dots,u_n^*}(u) = (\langle u, u_1^*\rangle, \dots, \langle u, u_n^*\rangle).$$

Let Γ be a subset of U^*. Sets of the form

$$\begin{aligned} Z(u_1^*, \dots, u_n^*; B) &:= \{u \in U : (\langle u, u_1^*\rangle, \dots, \langle u, u_n^*\rangle) \in B\} \\ &= \pi^{-1}_{u_1^*,\dots,u_n^*}(B), \end{aligned}$$

where $u_1^*, \dots, u_n^* \in \Gamma$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are called *cylindrical sets*. The set of all cylindrical sets is denoted by $\mathcal{Z}(U, \Gamma)$ and it is an algebra. The generated σ-algebra is denoted by $\mathcal{C}(U, \Gamma)$ and it is called the *cylindrical σ-algebra with respect to* (U, Γ). If $\Gamma = U^*$ we write $\mathcal{Z}(U) := \mathcal{Z}(U, \Gamma)$ and $\mathcal{C}(U) := \mathcal{C}(U, \Gamma)$.
A function $\mu : \mathcal{Z}(U) \to [0, \infty]$ is called a *cylindrical measure on* $\mathcal{Z}(U)$, if for each finite subset $\Gamma \subseteq U^*$ the restriction of μ to the σ-algebra $\mathcal{C}(U, \Gamma)$ is a measure. A cylindrical measure is called finite if $\mu(U) < \infty$ and a cylindrical probability measure if $\mu(U) = 1$.
For every function $f : U \to \mathbb{C}$ which is measurable with respect to $\mathcal{C}(U, \Gamma)$ for a finite subset $\Gamma \subseteq U^*$ the integral $\int f(u)\,\mu(du)$ is well defined as a complex valued Lebesgue integral if it exists. In particular, the characteristic function $\varphi_\mu : U^* \to \mathbb{C}$ of a finite

cylindrical measure μ is defined by

$$\varphi_\mu(u^*) := \int_U e^{i\langle u,u^*\rangle}\,\mu(du) \qquad \text{for all } u^* \in U^*.$$

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P)$ be a probability space. The space of equivalence classes of measurable functions $f : \Omega \to U$ is denoted by $L^0_P(\Omega; U)$ and it is equipped with the topology of convergence in probability.
Similarly to the correspondence between measures and random variables there is an analogous random object associated to cylindrical measures: a *cylindrical random variable Y in U* is a linear and continuous map

$$Y : U^* \to L^0_P(\Omega; \mathbb{R}).$$

A family $(Y(t) : t \geqslant 0)$ of cylindrical random variables $Y(t)$ is called a *cylindrical process*.
The characteristic function of a cylindrical random variable Y is defined by

$$\varphi_Y : U^* \to \mathbb{C}, \qquad \varphi_Y(u^*) = E[\exp(iYu^*)].$$

If $Z = Z(u_1^*, \dots, u_n^*; B)$ is a cylindrical set for $u_1^*, \dots, u_n^* \in U^*$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we obtain a cylindrical probability measure μ by the prescription

$$\mu(Z) := P((Yu_1^*, \dots, Yu_n^*) \in B). \tag{2.1}$$

We call μ the *cylindrical distribution of Y* and the characteristic functions φ_μ and φ_Y of μ and Y coincide. Conversely, for every cylindrical measure μ on $\mathcal{Z}(U)$ there exist a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P)$ and a cylindrical random variable $Y : U^* \to L^0_P(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$ such that μ is the cylindrical distribution of Y, see [33, VI.3.2].
Let ξ be an infinitely divisible Radon measure on $\mathcal{B}(U)$. Then the characteristic function $\varphi_\xi : U^* \to \mathbb{C}$ of ξ is given for each $u^* \in U^*$ by

$$\varphi_\xi(u^*) = \exp\left(i\langle a, u^*\rangle - \tfrac{1}{2}\langle Su^*, u^*\rangle + \int_U \left(e^{i\langle u,u^*\rangle} - 1 - i\langle u, u^*\rangle\, \mathbb{1}_{B_U}(u)\right) \mu(du) \right),$$

where $a \in U$, $S : U^* \to U$ is the covariance operator of a Gaussian Radon measure on $\mathcal{B}(U)$ and μ is a σ-finite measure on $\mathcal{B}(U)$. Consequently, the triplet (a, S, μ) characterises the distribution of the Radon measure ξ and thus, it is called the *characteristics of ξ*. If X is an U-valued random variable which is infinitely divisible then we call the characteristics of its probability distribution the characteristics of X.
In general Banach spaces it is not as straightforward to define a Levy measure as in Hilbert spaces. In this work we use the following result (Theorem 5.4.8 in Linde [12]) as the definition: a σ-finite measure μ on a Banach space U is called a *Lévy measure* if

(i) $\displaystyle\int_U \left(\langle u, u^*\rangle^2 \wedge 1\right) \mu(du) < \infty$ for all $u^* \in U^*$;

(ii) there exists a Radon measure on $\mathcal{B}(U)$ with characteristic function

$$\varphi(u^*) = \exp\left(\int_U \left(e^{i\langle u,u^*\rangle} - 1 - i\langle u, u^*\rangle\, \mathbb{1}_{B_U}(u)\right) \mu(du) \right).$$

For stochastic notions related to the Gaussian part of an infinitely divisible Radon measure, such as *reproducing kernel Hilbert space (RKHS)* and *γ-radonifying operator*, we refer to the literature, see e.g. [34] and references therein.

Let $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t\geqslant 0}$ be a filtration for the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P)$. An adapted stochastic process $L := (L(t) : t \geqslant 0)$ with values in U is called a *Lévy process* if $L(0) = 0$ P-a.s., L has independent and stationary increments and L is continuous in probability. It follows (see Dynkin [9, Ch. 8]) that there exists a version of L with paths which are continuous from the right and have limits from the left (càdlàg paths). Clearly, the random variable $L(1)$ is infinitely divisible and we call its characteristics the characteristics of L.

3 Cylindrical Lévy processes

Let U be a separable Banach space. A cylindrical process $(L(t) : t \geqslant 0)$ is called a *cylindrical Lévy process in* U if for all $u_1^*, \dots, u_n^* \in U^*$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have that

$$\big((L(t)u_1^*, \dots, L(t)u_n^*) : t \geqslant 0\big)$$

is a Lévy process in $\mathbb{R}^n$. This definition is introduced in our work [1]. Furthermore, we show that for each $t \geqslant 0$ the characteristic function $\varphi_{L(t)} : U^* \to \mathbb{C}$ of $L(t)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_{L(t)}(u^*) &= \exp\left(t\left(ip(u^*) - \tfrac{1}{2}qu^* + \int_U \left(e^{i\langle u,u^*\rangle} - 1 - i\langle u, u^*\rangle\, \mathbb{1}_{B_{\mathbb{R}}}(\langle u, u^*\rangle)\right)\nu(du)\right)\right)\\ &=: \exp(\Psi(u^*)),\end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

where $p : U^* \to \mathbb{R}$ is a mapping, $q : U^* \to \mathbb{R}$ is a quadratic form and ν is a cylindrical measure on $\mathcal{Z}(U)$ satisfying

$$\int_U \left(\langle u, u^*\rangle^2 \wedge 1\right)\nu(du) < \infty \qquad \text{for all } u^* \in U^*. \tag{3.2}$$

Consequently, the triplet (p, q, ν) characterises the distribution of the cylindrical Lévy process L and thus, it is called the *cylindrical characteristics of* L. The mapping $\Psi : U^* \to \mathbb{C}$ is called the *symbol* of L.

We call a cylindrical measure ν on $\mathcal{Z}(U)$ a *cylindrical Lévy measure* if it satisfies (3.2). However, note that this is not sufficient to guarantee that there exists a corresponding cylindrical Lévy process with cylindrical characteristics $(0, 0, \nu)$, see [28].

In [1, Th. 3.9] we derive that there exist a Gaussian cylindrical process $(W(t) : t \geqslant 0)$ and an independent, cylindrical process $(P(t) : t \geqslant 0)$ such that $L(t) = W(t) + P(t)$ for all $t \geqslant 0$. In addition to the continuity of the mapping $L(t) : U^* \to L_P^0(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$ we always assume that for each $t \geqslant 0$ the cylindrical variables $W(t) : U^* \to L_P^0(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$ and $P(t) : U^* \to L_P^0(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$ are continuous, respectively. According to [28, Lemma 4.4], a cylindrical Lévy process with cylindrical characteristics (p, q, ν) has a continuous decomposition W and P, if and only if

(1) $p : U^* \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous;

(2) there exists a positive, symmetric operator $Q : U^* \to U^{**}$ such that

$$qu^* = \langle u^*, Qu^*\rangle \text{ for all } u^* \in U^*;$$

(3) for every sequence $(u_n^*)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq U^*$ with $u_n^* \to u_0^*$ for $u_0^* \in U^*$ it follows that

$$\big(|\beta|^2 \wedge 1\big)(\nu \circ (u_n^*)^{-1})(d\beta) \to \big(|\beta|^2 \wedge 1\big)(\nu \circ (u_0^*)^{-1})(d\beta) \text{ weakly}. \tag{3.3}$$

We will need several times the following property of an arbitrary cylindrical Lévy process in a Banach space. For classical Lévy processes in U, the same property follows from much easier arguments, see [16, Prop.5.4.5].

Lemma 3.1. *Let ν be the cylindrical Lévy measure of a cylindrical Lévy process in U. Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that*

$$\sup_{\|u^*\|\leqslant\delta} \int_U \Big(|\langle u, u^*\rangle|^2 \wedge 1 \Big) \, \nu(du) \leqslant \varepsilon.$$

Proof. Let the cylindrical process L has the cylindrical characteristics (p, Q, ν). If $\tilde{L}$ denotes an independent copy of L then the cylindrical Lévy process $S := L - \tilde{L}$ has the cylindrical characteristics $(0, 2Q, \nu + \nu_-)$ where $\nu_- := \nu(-Z)$ for all $Z \in \mathcal{Z}(U)$. By subtracting the cylindrical Gaussian part of S we can assume that $Q = 0$.
Define for every $u^* \in U^*$ the cylindrical set $D(u^*) := \{u \in U : |\langle u, u^*\rangle| \leqslant 1\}$. The inequality $1 - \cos(\beta) \geqslant \frac{1}{3}\beta^2$ for all $|\beta| \leqslant 1$ implies that the characteristic function of $S(1)$ for each $u^* \in U^*$, satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{S(1)}(u^*) &= \exp\left(- \int_U \big(1 - \cos(\langle u, u^*\rangle)\big) \, (\nu + \nu_-)(du) \right) \\
&\leqslant \exp\left(- \int_{D(u^*)} \big(1 - \cos(\langle u, u^*\rangle)\big) \, (\nu + \nu_-)(du) \right) \\
&\leqslant \exp\left(-\tfrac{2}{3} \int_{D(u^*)} |\langle u, u^*\rangle|^2 \, \nu(du) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we obtain that

$$\int_{D(u^*)} |\langle u, u^*\rangle|^2 \, \nu(du) \leqslant -\tfrac{3}{2} \ln(\varphi_{S(1)}(u^*)) \qquad \text{for all } u^* \in U^*.$$

Since $S(1) : U^* \to L_P^0(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$ is continuous, its characteristic function $\varphi_{S(1)} : U^* \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous. Therefore, there exists $\delta_1 > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{\|u^*\|\leqslant\delta_1} \int_{D(u^*)} |\langle u, u^*\rangle|^2 \, \nu(du) < \varepsilon. \tag{3.4}$$

For the second part of the proof, we define

$$d(u^*) := \nu\big(D(u^*)^c\big) \qquad \text{for all } u^* \in U^*$$

and we show that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta_2 > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{\|u^*\|\leqslant\delta_2} d(u^*) \leqslant \varepsilon. \tag{3.5}$$

Assume for a contradiction that (3.5) is not satisfied. Then there exists a sequence $(u_n^*)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq U^*$ with $u_n^* \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ and $d(u_n^*) > \varepsilon$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ define the stopping times

$$\tau_{u_n^*} := \inf\{t \geqslant 0 : |\Delta L(t) u_n^*| > 1\}.$$

Since for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the stopping time $\tau_{u_n^*}$ is exponentially distributed with parameter $d(u_n^*)$ it follows that

$$P\Big(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} |L(t)u_n^*| \leqslant \tfrac{1}{2}\Big) \leqslant P\big(\tau_{u_n^*} > T\big) = e^{-d(u_n^*)T} \leqslant e^{-\varepsilon T} \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{3.6}$$

On the other hand, the continuity of $L(t) : U^* \to L_P^0(\Omega;\mathbb{R})$ implies that $L(t)u_n^* \to 0$ weakly in the space of probability measures on $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ for all $t \in [0,T]$. However, according to Corollary VII.3.6 (p.415) in [13] this is equivalent to $Lu_n^* := (L(t)u_n^* : t \in [0,T]) \to 0$ weakly in the Skorohod space $D([0,T];\mathbb{R})$, which implies that

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]} L(t)u_n^* \to 0 \qquad \text{in probability as } n \to \infty, \tag{3.7}$$

which contradicts (3.6). Therefore, we have proved the equality (3.5), which together with (3.4) show the claim for $\delta := \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}$. □

If Y is a genuine Lévy process in an infinite- or multidimensional space U then one can easily calculate the characteristics of $\langle Y(1), u^*\rangle$ for $u^* \in U^*$. Here, one has to apply a correction term to the deterministic part of the characteristics, see Sato [30, Prop.11.10]. A similar situation occurs for the cylindrical Lévy process, which we note in the next Lemma, where we abuse our notation slightly. For $u^* := (u_1^*, \dots, u_n^*) \in (U^*)^n$ we define the mapping $\pi_{u^*} : U \to \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\pi_{u^*}(u) = \big(\langle u, u_1^*\rangle, \dots, \langle u, u_n^*\rangle\big)$. The scalar product for α, $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is denoted by $\alpha \cdot \beta$ and $\beta \cdot u^*$ denotes $\beta_1 u_1^* + \dots + \beta_n u_n^*$.

Lemma 3.2. *If L is a cylindrical Lévy process with cylindrical characteristics (p, Q, ν) then for every $u^* := (u_1^*, \dots, u_n^*) \in (U^*)^n$ there exists a $\tilde{p}(u^*) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that for all $\beta := (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we have*

$$\beta \cdot \tilde{p}(u^*) = p\,(\beta \cdot u^*) + \int_U \beta \cdot \pi_{u^*}(u)\big(\,\mathbb{1}_{B_{\mathbb{R}^n}}(\pi_{u^*}(u)) - \mathbb{1}_{B_{\mathbb{R}}}(\beta \cdot \pi_{u^*}(u))\,\big)\,\nu(du).$$

If $n = 1$ then $\tilde{p}(u^*) = p(u^*)$ for all $u^* \in U^*$.

Proof. For the proof we can assume that $Q = 0$ and we set $L := L(1)$. It follows for every $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\varphi_{Lu_1^*,\dots,Lu_n^*}(\beta)\\
&\quad = E\left[e^{iL(\beta\cdot u^*)}\right]\\
&\quad = \exp\left(ip(\beta\cdot u^*) + \int_U \left(e^{i\beta\cdot\pi_{u^*}(u)} - 1 - i\beta\cdot\pi_{u^*}(u)\,\mathbb{1}_{B_{\mathbb{R}}}(\beta\cdot\pi_{u^*}(u))\right)\nu(du)\right)\\
&\quad = \exp\Bigg(ip(\beta\cdot u^*) + i\int_U \beta\cdot\pi_{u^*}(u)\Big(\,\mathbb{1}_{B_{\mathbb{R}^n}}(\pi_{u^*}(u)) - \mathbb{1}_{B_{\mathbb{R}}}(\beta\cdot\pi_{u^*}(u))\Big)\nu(du)\\
&\qquad\qquad + \int_U \left(e^{i\beta\cdot\pi_{u^*}(u)} - 1 - i\beta\cdot\pi_{u^*}(u)\,\mathbb{1}_{B_{\mathbb{R}^n}}(\pi_{u^*}(u))\right)\nu(du)\Bigg)\\
&\quad = \exp\Bigg(ip(\beta\cdot u^*) + i\int_U \beta\cdot\pi_{u^*}(u)\Big(\,\mathbb{1}_{B_{\mathbb{R}^n}}(\pi_{u^*}(u)) - \mathbb{1}_{B_{\mathbb{R}}}(\beta\cdot\pi_{u^*}(u))\Big)\nu(du)\\
&\qquad\qquad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(e^{i\beta\cdot\alpha} - 1 - i\beta\cdot\alpha\,\mathbb{1}_{B_{\mathbb{R}^n}}(\alpha)\right)(\nu\circ\pi_{u^*}^{-1})(d\alpha)\Bigg).
\end{aligned}$$

Since the random vector $(Lu_1^*, \dots, Lu_n^*)$ is infinitely divisible and $\nu \circ \pi_{u^*}^{-1}$ is a Lévy measure on $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the uniqueness of the Lévy-Khintchine formula implies that there exists $\tilde{p}(u^*) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$p(\beta \cdot u^*) + \int_U \beta \cdot \pi_{u^*}(u) \Big(\mathbb{1}_{B_{\mathbb{R}^n}}(\pi_{u^*}(u)) - \mathbb{1}_{B_{\mathbb{R}}}(\beta \cdot \pi_{u^*}(u)) \Big)\, \nu(du) = \beta \cdot \tilde{p}(u^*)$$

for all $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$. If $n = 1$ one can take $\beta = 1$ to conclude that $\tilde{p}(u^*) = p(u^*)$ for all $u^* \in U^*$. □

4 Examples of cylindrical Lévy processes

Example 4.1. If $(Y(t) : t \geqslant 0)$ is a genuine Lévy process with values in a Banach space U, then for $t \geqslant 0$

$$L(t) : U^* \to L_P^0(\Omega; \mathbb{R}), \qquad L(t)u^* = \langle Y(t), u^* \rangle$$

defines a cylindrical Lévy process in U. If (a, S, μ) is the characteristics of Y, then the cylindrical characteristics (p, Q, ν) of L is given by

$$p(u^*) = \langle a, u^* \rangle + \int_U \langle u, u^* \rangle \big(\mathbb{1}_{B_{\mathbb{R}}}(\langle u, u^* \rangle) - \mathbb{1}_{B_U}(u) \big)\, \mu(du), \qquad Q = S, \qquad \nu = \mu.$$

It is easy to see that the integral exists, see the proof of Theorem 5.5.
The asymmetry of the classical characteristics and the cylindrical characteristics of Y is due to the fact, that in the cylindrical perspective the entry ν is only a cylindrical measure and therefore, the truncation function $u \mapsto \mathbb{1}_{B_U}(u)$ cannot be integrated with respect to ν. A more illustrative reason is that a classical Lévy process obviously has jumps in the underlying Banach space U, whereas it is not clear in which space the jumps of a cylindrical Lévy process occur.

An appealing way to construct a cylindrical Lévy process is by a series of real-valued Lévy processes.

Lemma 4.2. *Let U be a Hilbert space with an orthonormal basis $(e_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ and let $(\ell_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of independent, real-valued Lévy processes with characteristics (a_k, b_k, μ_k) for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the following are equivalent:*

(a) *For each $(r_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in \ell^2$ we have*

(i) $$\sum_{k=1}^\infty |r_k| \left| a_k + \int_{1 < |\beta| \leqslant |r_k|^{-1}} \beta\, \mu_k(d\beta) \right| < \infty;$$

(ii) $(b_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in \ell^\infty$;

(iii) $$\sum_{k=1}^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Big(|r_k \beta|^2 \wedge 1 \Big)\, \mu_k(d\beta) < \infty.$$

(b) *For each $t \geqslant 0$ and $u^* \in U^*$ the sum*

$$L(t)u^* := \sum_{k=1}^\infty \langle e_k, u^* \rangle \ell_k(t) \tag{4.1}$$

converges P-a.s.

If in this case the set $\{\varphi_{\ell_k(1)} : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is equicontinuous at 0, then $(L(t) : t \geqslant 0)$ defines a cylindrical Lévy process in U with cylindrical characteristics (p, Q, ν) obeying

$$p(u^*) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle e_k, u^* \rangle \left(a_k + \int_{1<|\beta|\leqslant|\langle e_k,u^*\rangle|^{-1}} \beta \, \mu_k(d\beta) \right),$$

$$Qu^* = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle e_k, u^* \rangle^2 b_k^2, \qquad \big(\nu \circ (u^*)^{-1}\big)(d\beta) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \big(\mu_k \circ m_k(u^*)^{-1}\big)(d\beta),$$

for each $u^* \in U^*$, where $m_k(u^*) : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, $m_k(u^*)(\beta) = \langle e_k, u^* \rangle \beta$.

Proof. For an arbitrary sequence $(r_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ each Lévy process $(r_k \ell_k(t) : t \geqslant 0)$ has characteristics

$$a_k' = r_k a_k + \int_{\mathbb{R}} r_k \beta \big(\mathbb{1}_{B_{\mathbb{R}}}(r_k\beta) - \mathbb{1}_{B_{\mathbb{R}}}(\beta) \big) \, \mu_k(d\beta), \qquad b_k' = r_k^2 b_k, \qquad \mu_k' = \mu_k \circ m_{r_k}^{-1},$$

where $m_{r_k} : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, $m_{r_k}(\beta) = r_k \beta$, see for example [30, Prop.11.10]. It follows that

$$S_n(t) := \sum_{k=1}^{n} r_k \ell_k(t) \tag{4.2}$$

defines a real-valued Lévy process $(S_n(t) : t \geqslant 0)$ with characteristics

$$a^{(n)} := \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k', \qquad b^{(n)} := \sum_{k=1}^{n} r_k^2 b_k, \quad \mu^{(n)}(d\beta) := \sum_{k=1}^{n} \big(\mu_k \circ m_{r_k}^{-1}\big)(d\beta).$$

To show the implication (a) $\Rightarrow$ (b) fix $u^* \in U^*$ and set $r_k := \langle e_k, u^* \rangle$. If we choose $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|r_k| \leqslant 1$ for all $n \geqslant n_0$ it follows from (i) that

$$\sum_{k=n_0}^{\infty} |a_k'| = \sum_{k=n_0}^{\infty} |r_k| \left| a_k + \int_{1<|\beta|\leqslant|r_k|^{-1}} \beta \mu_k(d\beta) \right| < \infty.$$

Consequently, there exists $a \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} a^{(n)} = a. \tag{4.3}$$

Conditions (ii) and (iii) imply that for every continuous and bounded function $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(\beta) \left(b^{(n)} \delta_0(d\beta) + \left(|\beta|^2 \wedge 1 \right) \mu^{(n)}(d\beta) \right) \\
&\quad = f(0) \sum_{k=1}^{n} r_k^2 b_k + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(r_k\beta) \left(|r_k\beta|^2 \wedge 1 \right) \mu_k(d\beta) \\
&\quad \to f(0) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} r_k^2 b_k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(r_k\beta) \left(|r_k\beta|^2 \wedge 1 \right) \mu_k(d\beta) \qquad \text{as } n \to \infty.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

It follows from (4.3) and (4.4) by Corollary VII.3.6 (p.415) in [13] that the sum $S_n(t)$ converges weakly and therefore P-a.s. to an infinitely divisible random variable $L(t)u^*$ for each $t \geqslant 0$.

Conversely, it follows from (b) that the sum $S_n(1)$, defined in (4.2), converges weakly for every $(r_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \in \ell^2$. Corollary VII.3.6 (p.415) in [13] implies that $a^{(n)}$ converges as $n \to \infty$, i.e.

$$\left|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} r_k \left(a_k + \int_{1<|\beta|\leqslant |r_k|^{-1}} \beta \mu_k(d\beta)\right)\right| < \infty$$

for every $(r_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \in \ell^2$. Since for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ the term in the bracket does not depend on the sign of r_k we can choose r_k such that each summand is positive and we obtain

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |r_k| \left| a_k + \int_{1<|\beta|\leqslant |r_k|^{-1}} \beta \mu_k(d\beta)\right| < \infty.$$

Corollary VII.3.6 (p.415) in [13] implies that for every continuous, bounded function $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(\beta) \left(b^{(n)}\delta_0(d\beta) + \left(|\beta|^2 \wedge 1\right) \mu^{(n)}(d\beta)\right)\\ &\qquad = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left(r_k^2 b_k f(0) + \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(r_k\beta) \left(|r_k\beta|^2 \wedge 1\right) \mu_k(d\beta)\right)\end{aligned}$$

converges as $n \to \infty$. Since we can assume that $b_k \geqslant 0$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we can choose $f(\cdot) = 1$ to obtain

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} r_k^2 b_k < \infty, \qquad \text{and} \qquad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(|r_k\beta|^2 \wedge 1\right) \mu_k(d\beta) < \infty,$$

which completes the proof of the equivalence (a) ⇔ (b).

Clearly, $L(t) : U^* \to L_P^0(\Omega;\mathbb{R})$ is linear. If a sequence $(u_n^*)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq U^*$ converges to 0 then $\langle e_k, u_n^*\rangle \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ uniformly in $k \in \mathbb{N}$. The equicontinuity of $\{\varphi_{\ell_k(1)} : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ implies that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \varphi_{\ell_k(t)}(\langle e_k, u_n^*\rangle) = 1 \quad \text{uniformly in } k \in \mathbb{N}\,.$$

Consequently, we obtain

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \varphi_{L(t)}(u_n^*) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \varphi_{\ell_k(t)}(\langle e_k, u_n^*\rangle) = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \lim_{n\to\infty} \varphi_{\ell_k(t)}(\langle e_k, u_n^*\rangle) = 1,$$

which shows the continuity of $L(t)$. Finally, L has weakly independent increments, that is the random variables

$$\big(L(t_1) - L(t_0)\big)u_1^*, \dots, \big(L(t_n) - L(t_{n-1})\big)u_n^*$$

are independent for every $0 \leqslant t_0 \leqslant \dots \leqslant t_n$, $u_1^*, \dots, u_n^* \in U^*$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $(L(t)u^* : t \geqslant 0)$ is a real-valued Lévy process for each $u^* \in U^*$ it follows from [1, Le. 3.8] that L is a cylindrical Lévy process in U. □

The convergence (4.1) is called the *weakly P-a.s. convergence* of the sum $\sum e_k\ell_k(t)$ for each $t \geqslant 0$. If there exists a random variable $Y(t) : \Omega \to U$ for each $t \geqslant 0$ such that

$$Y(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e_k \ell_k(t) \qquad P\text{-a.s. in } U,$$

then the sum is called *strongly P-a.s.convergent.* Obviously, in this case, we have $L(t)u^* = \langle Y(t), u^*\rangle$ for every $u^* \in U^*$ and $t \geqslant 0$, and $(Y(t) : t \geqslant 0)$ is a U-valued Lévy process. One can easily show a similar result to Lemma 4.2 but we skip this; a special case can be found in [24, Th. 4.13]

Example 4.3. Let ℓ_k be defined by $\ell_k(\cdot) := \sigma_k b_k(\cdot)$ where $\sigma_k \in \mathbb{R}$ and $(b_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of independent, real-valued standard Brownian motions. Then the series in (4.1) defines a cylindrical Lévy process L if and only if $(\sigma_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \in \ell^\infty$. In this case L is called a *cylindrical Wiener process.* Its covariance operator Q is given by

$$Q : U^* \to U, \qquad Qu^* = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k^2 \langle e_k, u^*\rangle e_k,$$

This definition of a cylindrical Wiener process is consistent with other definitions which can be found in the literature, see [27].

Example 4.4. For a sequence $(h_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ of independent, real-valued Poisson processes with intensity 1 and a sequence $\sigma := (\sigma_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ we define $\ell_k(\cdot) := \sigma_k h_k(\cdot)$. In this case, the sum (4.1) defines a cylindrical Lévy process if and only if $\sigma \in \ell^2$. The sum converges strongly if and only if $\sigma \in \ell^1$. If $(h_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of independent, real-valued compensated Poisson processes with intensity 1, then the sum converges weakly if and only if $\sigma \in \ell^\infty$ and strongly if and only if $\sigma \in \ell^2$.

Example 4.5. Let $(h_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a family of independent, identically distributed, real-valued, standardised, symmetric, α-stable Lévy processes h_k for $\alpha \in (0, 2)$. Then the characteristics of h_k is given by $(0, 0, \rho)$ with Lévy measure

$$\rho(d\beta) = \tfrac{1}{2} |\beta|^{-1-\alpha}\, d\beta.$$

For a sequence $(\sigma_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ define for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ the Lévy process $\ell_k(\cdot) := \sigma_k h_k(\cdot)$. Then the characteristics of ℓ_k is given by $(0, 0, \mu_k)$ with

$$\mu_k := \rho \circ m_{\sigma_k}^{-1},$$

where $m_\sigma : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is defined by $m_\sigma \beta = \sigma\beta$ for $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$. With this choice of $(\ell_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ it follows by Lemma 4.2 that the sum (4.1) defines a cylindrical Lévy process if and only if

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int \Big(|r_k\beta|^2 \wedge 1 \Big)\, \mu_k(d\beta) = \tfrac{2}{\alpha(2-\alpha)} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |r_k\sigma_k|^\alpha < \infty$$

for every $(r_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \in \ell^2$, i.e. $(\sigma_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \in \ell^{(2\alpha)/(2-\alpha)}$. The cylindrical Lévy process is U-valued, i.e. the sum converges strongly, if and only if $(\sigma_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \in \ell^\alpha$.

Remark 4.6. The publication [26] treats the cylindrical Lévy process introduced in Example 4.5 and it is called a *cylindrical stable noise.* This specific example of a cylindrical Lévy process appears also in the publications [3], [17] and [25]. However, since the authors do not follow the cylindrical approach, they do not require that the sum (4.1) is finite, i.e. they do not impose any conditions on the sequence $(\sigma_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$. Although this is more general, it does not match the usual framework, if one understands cylindrical Lévy processes as a generalisation of cylindrical Wiener processes. All the different definitions of cylindrical Wiener processes, one can find in the literature, have in common that the corresponding sum of the form (4.1) converges weakly as in Example 4.3.

Example 4.7. In [4], the authors introduce a noise which they call *Lévy white noise*. In this example we define this noise in our setting and generalise it slightly. In contrary to the original source, our cylindrical approach enables us to introduce this noise without referring to any other space than the underlying Banach space U, which we consider to be more natural.
Let W be a cylindrical Wiener process in a separable Banach space U and let ℓ be an independent, real-valued Lévy subordinator. We define a cylindrical process $(L(t) : t \geqslant 0)$ in U by defining

$$L(t)u^* := W(\ell(t))u^* \qquad \text{for all } u^* \in U^*,\ t \geqslant 0. \tag{4.5}$$

In this way, we obtain a cylindrical Lévy process:

Lemma 4.8. *If W is a cylindrical Wiener process in U with covariance operator C and ℓ is an independent, real-valued subordinator with characteristics $(\alpha, 0, \rho)$ then relation (4.5) defines a cylindrical Lévy process $(L(t) : t \geqslant 0)$ with cylindrical characteristics $(0, Q, \nu)$ given by*

$$Q = \alpha C, \qquad \nu = (\gamma \otimes \rho) \circ \kappa^{-1},$$

where γ is the canonical Gaussian cylindrical measure on the reproducing kernel Hilbert space H_C of C with embedding $i_C : H_C \to U$ and

$$\kappa : H_C \times \mathbb{R}_+ \to U, \qquad \kappa(h, s) := \sqrt{s}\, i_C h.$$

Proof. The very definition of L implies by Lemma 3.8 in [1] that L is a cylindrical Lévy process. The characteristic function of the subordinator ℓ can be analytically continued, such that for each $t \geqslant 0$ we obtain the Laplace transform of $\ell(t)$ by

$$E\left[\exp(-\beta \ell(t))\right] = \exp\left(-t\tau(\beta)\right) \qquad \text{for all } \beta > 0,$$

where the *Laplace exponent* τ is defined by

$$\tau(\beta) := \alpha\beta + \int_0^\infty \left(1 - e^{-\beta s}\right) \rho(ds) \qquad \text{for all } \beta > 0.$$

Independence of W and ℓ implies that for each $t \geqslant 0$ and $u^* \in U^*$ the characteristic function $\varphi_{L(t)}$ of $L(t)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{L(t)}(u^*) &= E\left[e^{iW(\ell(t))u^*}\right] \\
&= \int_0^\infty E\left[e^{iW(s)u^*}\right] P_{\ell(t)}(ds) \\
&= \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{1}{2}s\langle Cu^*, u^*\rangle} P_{\ell(t)}(ds) \\
&= \exp\left(-t\tau\left(\tfrac{1}{2}\langle Cu^*, u^*\rangle\right)\right) \\
&= \exp\left(t\left(-\tfrac{1}{2}\langle \alpha Cu^*, u^*\rangle + \int_0^\infty \left(e^{-\frac{1}{2}s\langle Cu^*, u^*\rangle} - 1\right) \rho(ds)\right)\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

By using the equality $\gamma(H_C) = 1$ and the symmetry of the canonical Gaussian cylindrical measure γ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^\infty \left(e^{-\frac{1}{2}s\langle Cu^*,u^*\rangle} - 1\right) \rho(ds) \\
&\qquad = \int_0^\infty \int_{H_C} \left(e^{i\sqrt{s}\,\langle i_C h, u^*\rangle} - 1\right) \gamma(dh)\, \rho(ds) \\
&\qquad = \int_0^\infty \int_{H_C} \left(e^{i\sqrt{s}\,\langle i_C h, u^*\rangle} - 1 - i\sqrt{s}\,\langle i_C h, u^*\rangle \, \mathbb{1}_{B_{\mathbb{R}}}(\sqrt{s}\,\langle i_C h, u^*\rangle)\right) \gamma(dh)\, \rho(ds) \\
&\qquad = \int_U \left(e^{i\langle u,u^*\rangle} - 1 - i\langle u, u^*\rangle \, \mathbb{1}_{B_{\mathbb{R}}}(\langle u, u^*\rangle)\right) \left((\gamma\otimes\rho)\circ\kappa^{-1}\right)(du). \qquad (4.7)
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $(\gamma\otimes\rho)\circ\kappa^{-1}$ is a cylindrical Lévy measure since for each $u^*\in U^*$ we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_U \left(\langle u, u^*\rangle^2 \wedge 1\right) \left((\gamma\otimes\rho)\circ\kappa^{-1}\right)(dv) &= \int_0^\infty \int_{H_C} \left(\sqrt{s}\,\langle i_C h, u^*\rangle^2 \wedge 1\right) \gamma(dh)\rho(ds) \\
&\leqslant \int_0^\infty \left(\int_{H_C} \sqrt{s}\,\langle i_C h, u^*\rangle^2 \,\gamma(dh) \wedge 1\right) \rho(ds) \\
&= \int_0^\infty \left(\langle sCu^*, u^*\rangle \wedge 1\right) \rho(ds) < \infty.
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying equality (4.7) to the the representation (4.6) yields that the characteristic function of $L(t)$ is of the form (3.1), which completes the proof. ☐

The last example shows an important difference between cylindrical Wiener and cylindrical Lévy processes. A generalised Karhunen-Loève expansion guarantees that cylindrical Wiener processes can be represented by a sum of independent U-valued summands. Whereas the cylindrical Lévy processes in our previous Examples 4.4 and 4.5, which are constructed by Lemma 4.2, naturally have a representation as a series with independent summands, we cannot expect such a kind of representation in Example 4.7. This lack of a Karhunen-Loève expansion will have consequences to regularities of paths of solutions of stochastic differential equations, as we will see later.

Example 4.9. This example was already given in our work [1]. Let ρ be a Lévy measure on $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ and λ be a positive measure on a set $O\subseteq\mathbb{R}^d$. In the monograph [24] by Peszat and Zabczyk an *impulsive cylindrical process on* $L^2_\lambda(O;\mathbb{R})$ is introduced in the following way: let π be the Poisson random measure on $[0,\infty)\times O\times\mathbb{R}$ with intensity measure $ds\,\lambda(d\xi)\,\rho(d\beta)$. Then for all measurable functions $f : O\to\mathbb{R}$ with compact support a random variable is defined by

$$
Z(t)f := \int_0^t \int_O \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(\xi)\beta\, \tilde{\pi}(ds, d\xi, d\beta)
$$

in $L^2_P(\Omega;\mathbb{R})$ under the simplifying assumption that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \beta^2\, \rho(d\beta) < \infty.
$$

It turns out that the definition of $Z(t)$ can be extended to all f in $L^2_\lambda(O;\mathbb{R})$ so that $Z = (Z(t) : t\geqslant 0)$ is a cylindrical process in the Hilbert space $L^2_\lambda(O;\mathbb{R})$. Moreover,

$(Z(t)f : t \geqslant 0)$ is a Lévy process for every $f \in L^2_\lambda(O;\mathbb{R})$ and Z has the characteristic function

$$\varphi_{Z(t)}(f) = \exp\left(t \int_O \int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}} \left(e^{if(\xi)\beta} - 1 - if(\xi)\beta \right) \, \rho(d\beta) \, \lambda(d\xi) \right), \tag{4.8}$$

see Prop. 7.4 in [24].

To consider this example in our setting we set $U = L^2_\lambda(O;\mathbb{R})$ and identify U^* with U. By the results mentioned above and if we assume weakly independent increments, Lemma 3.8 in [1] tells us that the cylindrical process Z is a cylindrical Lévy process. By Corollary 2.7 in [1] it follows that there exists a cylindrical Lévy measure ν on $\mathcal{Z}(U)$ such that $\nu \circ f^{-1}$ is the Lévy measure of $(Z(t)f : t \geqslant 0)$ for all $f \in U^*$. But on the other hand, if we define a measure by

$$\nu(f) : \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \to [0,\infty], \qquad \nu(f)(B) := \int_O \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{1}_B(\beta f(\xi)) \, \rho(d\beta) \lambda(d\xi)$$

we can rewrite (4.8) as

$$\varphi_{Z(t)}(f) = \exp\left(t \int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}} \left(e^{i\beta} - 1 - i\beta \right) \, v(f)(d\beta) \right)$$

and by the uniqueness of the characteristics of a Lévy process we see that $v(f) = v \circ f^{-1}$ for all $f \in U^*$.

5 Stochastic integration

Let $(L(t) : t \geqslant 0)$ be a cylindrical Lévy process with values in a separable Banach space U and with cylindrical characteristics (p, Q, ν). The aim of this section is to define a stochastic integral

$$I(f) := \int_0^T f(s) \, dL(s)$$

as a V-valued random variable for a deterministic function $f : [0,T] \to \mathcal{L}(U,V)$, where V is a separable Banach space and $\mathcal{L}(U,V)$ denotes the space of linear, bounded functions $S : U \to V$. Our approach is based on the idea that the random variable $I(f)$, if it exists, must be infinitely divisible and thus, it is characterised by its deterministic semimartingale characteristics, say (a_f, S_f, μ_f). If we have a candidate for the characteristics of $I(f)$ and if there are conditions known (such as in Hilbert spaces) guaranteeing the existence of an infinitely divisible random variable in terms of its prospective characteristics, then we can describe the class of integrable functions $f : [0,T] \to \mathcal{L}(U,V)$. This approach works in every separable Banach space V in which explicit conditions on the characteristics are known guaranteeing the existence of a corresponding infinitely divisible Radon measure.

In order to have a candidate for the characteristics of $I(f)$ at hand for formulating the conditions on integrability, we first introduce a cylindrical random variable $Z(f) : V^* \to L^0_P(\Omega;\mathbb{R})$ as a *cylindrical integral of* f. Then we call f *integrable with respect to* L if the cylindrical integral $Z(f)$ is induced by a classical random variable $I(f) : \Omega \to V$, i.e.

$$Z(f)v^* = \langle I(f), v^* \rangle \qquad \text{for all } v^* \in V^*.$$

In this way one can think of I_f as a stochastic Pettis integral.
For a well defined integral we would expect that

$$\langle \int_0^T f(s)\,dL(s), v^*\rangle = \int_0^T f^*(s)v^*\,dL(s) \qquad \text{for all } v^* \in V^*.$$

Consequently, in a first step we want to introduce a real-valued stochastic integral with respect to a cylindrical Lévy process L for functions of the form $g : [0,T] \to U^*$.
For that purpose, we firstly consider simple U^*-valued functions. A deterministic function $g : [0,T] \to U^*$ is called simple if it is of the form

$$g(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \mathbb{1}_{(t_k,t_{k+1}]}(t)u_k^* \qquad \text{for all } t \in [0,T], \tag{5.1}$$

where $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_m = T$ and $u_k^* \in U^*$. The space of all simple functions is denoted by $\mathcal{H}(U^*)$ and it is endowed with the supremum norm

$$\|g\|_\infty := \sup_{s\in[0,T]} \|g(s)\|\,.$$

Let $D_+([0,T];U^*)$ denote the Banach space of deterministic functions $g : [0,T] \to U^*$ which are continuous from the left and have right limits, equipped with the supremum norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$. Since for every function $g \in D_+([0,T];U^*)$ and each $\varepsilon > 0$ the set

$$\{t \in [0,T] : \|g(t+) - g(t)\| \geqslant \varepsilon\}$$

is finite the function g can be uniformly approximated by step functions, that is the space $\mathcal{H}(U^*)$ is dense in $D_+([0,T];U^*)$. We define a mapping $J : \mathcal{H}(U^*) \to L_P^0(\Omega;\mathbb{R})$ by

$$J(g) := \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \big(L(t_{k+1}) - L(t_k)\big)(u_k^*), \tag{5.2}$$

where g is of the form (5.1). In order to show that the mapping J is continuous we need the following result.

Lemma 5.1. *If $\Psi : U^* \to \mathbb{C}$ is the symbol of a cylindrical Lévy process L then the mapping*

$$D_+([0,T];U^*) \to L^1([0,T];\mathbb{C}), \qquad g \mapsto \Psi(g(\cdot))$$

is continuous.

Proof. Let $(g_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $D_+([0,T];U^*)$ converging to $g \in D_+([0,T];U^*)$. We choose a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$\max\{\|g\|_\infty\,, \|g_n\|_\infty\} \leqslant c \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}\,. \tag{5.3}$$

If (p,Q,ν) denotes the cylindrical characteristics of L, then

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^T \Psi(g_n(s))\,ds = i\int_0^T p(g_n(s))\,ds - \tfrac{1}{2}\int_0^T \langle g_n(s), Qg_n(s)\rangle\,ds \\ + \int_0^T \int_U \Big(e^{i\langle u,g_n(s)\rangle} - 1 - i\langle u, g_n(s)\rangle\,\mathbb{1}_{B_\mathbb{R}}(\langle u, g_n(s)\rangle)\Big)\,\nu(du)\,ds.\end{aligned}$$

By comparing the Lévy-Khintchine formula for the identically distributed random variables $L(1)(\alpha u^*)$ and $\alpha L(1)u^*$ for $u^* \in U^*$ and $\alpha > 0$, we obtain

$$p(\alpha u^*) = \alpha p(u^*) + \alpha \int_U \langle u, u^*\rangle \Big(\mathbb{1}_{B_\mathbb{R}}(\alpha\langle u, u^*\rangle) - \mathbb{1}_{B_\mathbb{R}}(\langle u, u^*\rangle)\Big)\nu(du). \tag{5.4}$$

The second term on the right hand side can be estimated by

$$\begin{aligned} \int_U &\left|\langle u, u^*\rangle\right| \Big| \mathbb{1}_{B_\mathbb{R}}(\alpha\langle u, u^*\rangle) - \mathbb{1}_{B_\mathbb{R}}(\langle u, u^*\rangle)\Big|\nu(du) \\ &\leqslant \int_{\left|\langle u,u^*\rangle\right| \leqslant \frac{1}{\alpha}} \langle u, u^*\rangle^2 \,\nu(du) + \int_{\left|\langle u,u^*\rangle\right| > \frac{1}{\alpha}} \nu(du) \\ &= \int_U \left(\langle u, u^*\rangle^2 \wedge \tfrac{1}{\alpha^2}\right) \nu(du). \end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

The continuity of p and $p(0) = 0$ imply that there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $|p(u^*)| \leqslant 1$ for all $\|u^*\| \leqslant \delta$. Consequently, by choosing $\alpha = \frac{c}{\delta}$ it follows from (5.4) and (5.5) by Lemma 3.1 that

$$\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \sup_{s\in[0,T]} |p(g_n(s))| \leqslant \alpha \sup_{\|u^*\|\leqslant c} \left|p\left(\tfrac{u^*}{\alpha}\right)\right| + \alpha \sup_{\|u^*\|\leqslant c} \int_U \left(\left|\langle u, u^*\rangle\right|^2 \wedge \tfrac{1}{\alpha^2}\right) \nu(du) < \infty.$$

Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem implies that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_0^T |p(g_n(s)) - p(g(s))| \, ds = 0. \tag{5.6}$$

Another application of Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem shows that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_0^T |\langle g_n(s), Qg_n(s)\rangle - \langle g(s), Qg(s)\rangle| \, ds = 0, \tag{5.7}$$

since $|\langle g_n(s), Qg_n(s)\rangle| \leqslant c^2 \|Q\| < \infty$ for all $s \in [0,T]$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Define for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the functions

$$h_n : [0,T] \to \mathbb{C}, \qquad h_n(s) = \int_U \left(e^{i\langle u, g_n(s)\rangle} - 1 - i\langle u, g_n(s)\rangle \,\mathbb{1}_{B_\mathbb{R}}(\langle u, g_n(s)\rangle)\right) \nu(du)$$

and the function

$$f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}, \qquad f(\beta) = \frac{e^{i\beta} - 1 - i\beta \,\mathbb{1}_{B_\mathbb{R}}(\beta)}{\beta^2 \wedge 1}.$$

Clearly, the function f is bounded and continuous. It follows from (5.3) by Lemma 3.1 that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \sup_{s\in[0,T]} |h_n(s)| &= \sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \sup_{s\in[0,T]} \left| \int_U f(\langle u, g_n(s)\rangle) \left(\langle u, g_n(s)\rangle^2 \wedge 1\right) \nu(du)\right| \\ &\leqslant \|f\|_\infty \sup_{\|u^*\|\leqslant c} \int_U \left(\langle u, u^*\rangle^2 \wedge 1\right) \nu(du) \\ &< \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Since for each $s \in [0,T]$ we have that $\|g_n(s) - g(s)\| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ it follows from (3.3) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{n\to\infty} h_n(s) &= \lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(\beta)\big(\,|\beta|^2 \wedge 1\big)\,\big(\nu \circ g_n(s)^{-1}\big)(d\beta) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(\beta)\big(\,|\beta|^2 \wedge 1\big)\,\big(\nu \circ g(s)^{-1}\big)(d\beta) \\
&=: h(s).
\end{aligned}$$

Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem implies that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_0^T |h_n(s) - h(s)|\, ds = 0. \tag{5.8}$$

The limiting behaviour (5.6) to (5.8) shows the claimed continuity of Ψ. $\square$

Lemma 5.2. *The operator $J : \mathcal{H}(U^*) \to L^0_P(\Omega;\mathbb{R})$ defined in (5.2) is continuous where $L^0_P(\Omega;\mathbb{R})$ is equipped with the topology of convergence in probability.*

Proof. Let $(g_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{H}(U^*)$ be a sequence converging to g in $\mathcal{H}(U^*)$. Then, by linearity of J it follows that $J(g_n)$ converges to $J(g)$ in probability if and only if $J(g_n - g) \to 0$ in probability. However, the latter convergence occurs if and only if $J(g_n - g) \to 0$ weakly. It is easy to see that the characteristic function $\varphi_{J(g_n)} : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ of $J(g_n)$ is given by

$$\varphi_{J(g_n)}(\beta) = \exp\left(\int_0^T \Psi(\beta g_n(s))\, ds\right) \qquad \text{for all } \beta \in \mathbb{R}\,.$$

Consequently, it follows from Lemma 5.1 that $\varphi_{J(g_n)}(\beta)$ converges to $\varphi_{J(g)}(\beta)$ for all $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, which completes the proof. $\square$

Since U^* is separable the space $L^0_P(\Omega;U^*)$ is an F-space, i.e. a complete metric space where the metric is translation invariant. Since $J : \mathcal{H}(U^*) \to L^0_P(\Omega;\mathbb{R})$ is linear and continuous it is also uniformly continuous due to the translation invariance of the metric. The principle of extension by continuity ([8, Thm.17, p.23]) enables us to extend the mapping J to the space $D_+([0,T];U^*)$, that is

$$J(g) := \lim_{n\to\infty} J(g_n) \qquad \text{in } L^0_P(\Omega;\mathbb{R}),$$

where $\{g_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{H}(U^*)$ is chosen such that $g_n \to g$ in $D_+([0,T];U^*)$. Lemma 5.1 implies that the characteristic function $\varphi_{J(g)} : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ is given by

$$\varphi_{J(g)}(\beta) = \exp\left(\int_0^T \Psi(\beta g(s))\, ds\right) \qquad \text{for all } \beta \in \mathbb{R}\,. \tag{5.9}$$

Now we are coming back to our aim to introduce a cylindrical integral for integrands with values in $\mathcal{L}(U,V)$. For that purpose, let $f : [0,T] \to \mathcal{L}(U,V)$ be a function and for each $s \in [0,T]$ denote by $f^*(s)$ the adjoint of $f(s) : U \to V$. We say that the function $f : [0,T] \to \mathcal{L}(U,V)$ is *weakly in* $D_+([0,T];U^*)$ if $f^*(\cdot)v^*$ is in $D_+([0,T];U^*)$ for each $v^* \in V^*$. Clearly, the function $s \mapsto f^*(s)v^*$ is measurable for each $v^* \in V^*$.

Lemma 5.3. *If L is a cylindrical Lévy process with symbol $\Psi : U^* \to \mathbb{C}$ and $f : [0,T] \to \mathcal{L}(U,V)$ is weakly in $D_+([0,T];U^*)$ then*

$$Z(f) : V^* \to L_P^0(\Omega;\mathbb{R}), \qquad Z(f)v^* := J(f^*(\cdot)v^*)$$

defines a cylindrical random variable with characteristic function

$$\varphi_{Z(f)} : V^* \to \mathbb{C}, \qquad \varphi_{Z(f)}(v^*) = \exp\left(\int_0^T \Psi(f^*(s)v^*)\, ds \right).$$

Proof. Since $f^*(\cdot)v^* \in D_+([0,T];U^*)$ for every $v^* \in V^*$ we have $\sup_{s\in[0,T]} \|f^*(s)v^*\| < \infty$ for all $v^* \in V^*$. Thus, the uniform boundedness principle implies

$$m := \sup_{s\in[0,T]} \|f^*(s)\|_{V^*\to U^*} < \infty. \tag{5.10}$$

Consequently, we obtain that $\|f^*(\cdot)v^*\|_\infty \leqslant m\,\|v^*\|$ which implies the continuity of $Z : V^* \to L_P^0(\Omega;\mathbb{R})$ by the continuity of $J : D_+([0,T];U^*) \to L_P^0(\Omega;\mathbb{R})$. The form of the characteristic function follows immediately from (5.9). ☐

The cylindrical random variable $Z(f)$ is called the *cylindrical integral of f*. In order to consider stochastic partial differential equations in the usual setting of classical random variables, we require more:

Definition 5.4. A function $f : [0,T] \to \mathcal{L}(U,V)$ is called *stochastically integrable on* $[0,T]$ *w.r.t.* L if f is weakly in $D_+([0,T];U^*)$ and if there exists a random variable $I(f) : \Omega \to V$ such that P-a.s.

$$\langle I(f), v^* \rangle = Z(f)v^* \qquad \text{for all } v^* \in V^*,$$

where $Z(f)$ denotes the cylindrical integral of f. In this case $I(f)$ is denoted by

$$I(f) = \int_0^T f(s)\, dL(s).$$

The cylindrical integral $Z(f) : V^* \to L_P^0(\Omega;\mathbb{R})$ is induced by a classical random variable $I(f)$ if and only if its cylindrical distribution extends to a Radon measure, say ξ, see [33, Th. IV.2.5, p.216]. In this case the characteristic function of ξ coincides with the characteristic function of $Z(f)$ and it follows that ξ is an infinitely divisible Radon measure. Consequently, we have to find conditions such that the characteristic function of $Z(f)$ is in fact the characteristic function of a Radon measure and not only of a cylindrical measure. Such conditions are known in Hilbert spaces or more general, in Sazonov spaces, in the form of a generalisation of Bochner's theorem in $\mathbb{R}^d$. However, since the Radon measure ξ, if it exists, is infinitely divisible, one can consider its prospective characteristics. If (p, Q, ν) denotes the cylindrical characteristics of L then Lemma 5.3

implies for each $v^* \in V^*$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{Z(f)}(v^*) &= \exp\Bigg(i\int_0^T p(f^*(s)v^*)\,ds - \frac{1}{2}\int_0^T \langle f^*(s)v^*, Qf^*(s)v^*\rangle\,ds \\
&\qquad + \int_0^T \int_U \Big(e^{i\langle u, f^*(s)v^*\rangle} - 1 - i\langle u, f^*(s)v^*\rangle\,\mathbb{1}_{B_{\mathbb{R}}}(\langle u, f^*(s)v^*\rangle)\Big)\,\nu(du)\,ds \Bigg) \\
&= \exp\Bigg(i\int_0^T p(f^*(s)v^*)\,ds - \frac{1}{2}\int_0^T \langle f^*(s)v^*, Qf^*(s)v^*\rangle\,ds \qquad (5.11) \\
&\qquad + \int_V \Big(e^{i\langle v, v^*\rangle} - 1 - i\langle v, v^*\rangle\,\mathbb{1}_{B_{\mathbb{R}}}(\langle v, v^*\rangle)\Big)\,\big((\nu\otimes \mathrm{leb})\circ \chi_f^{-1})(dv)\Bigg),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\chi_f : [0,T]\times U \to V$ is defined by $\chi_f(s,u) := f(s)u$. It follows that the cylindrical distribution of $Z(f)$ is described by

$$p_f : V^* \to \mathbb{R}, \qquad p_f(v^*) := \int_0^T p(f(s)v^*)\,ds, \tag{5.12}$$

$$Q_f : V^* \to V, \qquad Q_f(v^*) = \int_0^T \langle f^*(s)v^*, Qf^*(s)v^*\rangle\,ds \tag{5.13}$$

$$\nu_f : \mathcal{Z}(V) \to [0,\infty], \qquad \nu_f = (\nu\otimes \mathrm{leb})\circ \chi_f^{-1}. \tag{5.14}$$

In the work [28] we introduce the class of infinitely divisible cylindrical measures and it is easy to see that the cylindrical distribution of $Z(f)$ belongs to this class. In analogy to cylindrical Lévy processes, the triplet (p_f, Q_f, ν_f) is called the *cylindrical characteristics of* $Z(f)$.

Theorem 5.5. *For a function $f : [0,T] \to \mathcal{L}(U,V)$ which is weakly in $D_+([0,T];U^*)$, the following are equivalent:*

(a) *f is stochastically integrable on $[0,T]$;*

(b) *the following is satisfied for (p_f, Q_f, ν_f) defined in (5.12) to (5.14):*

  (1) *ν_f extends to a Radon measure and Lévy measure on $\mathcal{B}(V)$;*

  (2) *the mapping $\kappa : V^* \to \mathbb{R}$ is weakly* sequentially continuous where*

$$\kappa(v^*) = \int_0^T p(f^*(s)v^*)\,ds + \int_V \langle v, v^*\rangle\big(\mathbb{1}_{B_V}(v) - \mathbb{1}_{B_{\mathbb{R}}}(\langle v, v^*\rangle)\big)\,\nu_f(dv);$$

  (3) *the operator $j_f : L^2([0,T]; H_Q) \to V$ defined by*

$$\langle v^*, j_f g\rangle = \int_0^T [g(s), i^* f^*(s)v^*]_{H_Q}\,ds \qquad \text{for all } v^* \in V^*,$$

  is γ-radonifying where H_Q is the RKHS of Q and $i : H_Q \to U$ its embedding;

In this situation the stochastic integral of f is an infinitely divisible random variable in V with characteristics (b_f, S_f, ν_f) where $S_f = j_f j_f^*$ and $b_f \in V$ satisfies $\langle b_f, \cdot\rangle = \kappa(\cdot)$.

Proof. (b) $\Rightarrow$ (a): If j_f is γ-radonifying then the operator $S := j_f j_f^* : V^* \to V$ is the covariance operator of a Gaussian measure γ_S in $\mathcal{B}(V)$. The characteristic function of γ_S is for each $v^* \in V^*$ of the form

$$\varphi_{\gamma_S}(v^*) = \exp\left(-\tfrac{1}{2}\langle Sv^*, v^*\rangle\right) = \exp\left(-\tfrac{1}{2}\int_0^T [i^* f^*(s)v^*, i^* f^*(s)v^*]_{H_Q}\, ds\right)$$

$$= \exp\left(-\tfrac{1}{2}\int_0^T \langle f^*(s)v^*, Qf^*(s)v^*\rangle\, ds\right). \qquad (5.15)$$

By the very definition of a Lévy measure (see Section 2), condition (3) implies that there exists a Radon measure ρ_1 on $\mathcal{B}(V)$ with characteristic function

$$\varphi_{\rho_1}(v^*) = \exp\left(\int_V \left(e^{i\langle v,v^*\rangle} - 1 - i\langle v, v^*\rangle\, \mathbb{1}_{B_V}(v)\right)\, \nu_f(dv)\right) \qquad \text{for all } v^* \in V^*.$$

According to [1, Th.3.9] the cylindrical Lévy process L can be decomposed into

$$L(t)u^* = W(t)u^* + P(t)u^* \qquad \text{for all } u^* \in U^*,\ t \geqslant 0,$$

where W and P are independent, cylindrical Lévy processes with characteristics $(0, Q, 0)$ and $(p, 0, \nu)$, respectively. It follows that

$$Z(f)v^* = Z_W(f)v^* + Z_P(f)v^* \qquad \text{for all } v^* \in V^*,\ t \geqslant 0,$$

where Z_W is the cylindrical integral w.r.t W and Z_P w.r.t. P. Let X be a V-valued random variable with probability distribution ρ_1, which we can choose to be independent of P by extending the underlying probability space to $(\Omega', \mathcal{A}', P')$. It follows that

$$Y : V^* \to L^0_{P'}(\Omega'; \mathbb{R}), \qquad Yv^* = Z_P(f)v^* - \langle X, v^*\rangle$$

defines a cylindrical random variable with characteristic function

$$\varphi_Y : V^* \to \mathbb{C}, \qquad \varphi_Y(v^*) = \exp(i\kappa(v^*)).$$

Since the infinitely divisible, real-valued random variables $Z_P(f^*)v^*$ and $\langle X, v^*\rangle$ have no Gaussian part but the same Lévy measure $\nu_f \circ \pi_{v^*}^{-1}$, the Lévy-Ito decomposition in $\mathbb{R}$ implies that Yv^* equals the real number $\kappa(v^*)$ P-a.s. for each $v^* \in V^*$. The linearity and continuity of Y implies that $\kappa \in V^{**}$. A corollary to the Krein-Smulyan theorem guarantees by condition (2) that there exists a $b_f \in V$ such that $\langle b_f, v^*\rangle = \kappa(v^*)$ for all $v^* \in V^*$. Consequently, we can define the Dirac measure δ_{b_f} of the point $b_f \in V$. Then the convolution $\rho_2 := \delta_{b_f} * \rho_1$ is a Radon measure in $\mathcal{B}(V)$ and its characteristic function

φ_{ρ_2} is for each $v^* \in V^*$ of the form

$$\begin{aligned}
&\varphi_{\rho_2}(v^*) \\
&= \exp\left(i\langle b_f, v^*\rangle + \int_V \left(e^{i\langle v, v^*\rangle} - 1 - i\langle v, v^*\rangle \, \mathbb{1}_{B_V}(v) \right) \nu_f(dv) \right) \\
&= \exp\Bigg(i\int_0^T \left(p(f^*(s)v^*) + \int_U \langle f(s)u, v^*\rangle \left(\mathbb{1}_{B_V}(f(s)u) - \mathbb{1}_{B_{\mathbb{R}}}(\langle f(s)u, v^*\rangle)\right) \nu(du) \right) ds \\
&\qquad\qquad + \int_0^T \int_U \left(e^{i\langle f(s)u, v^*\rangle} - 1 - i\langle f(s)u, v^*\rangle \, \mathbb{1}_{B_V}(f(s)u) \right) \nu(du)\, ds \Bigg) \\
&= \exp\Bigg(i\int_0^T p(f^*(s)v^*)\, ds \qquad\qquad (5.16) \\
&\qquad\qquad + \int_0^T \int_U \left(e^{i\langle f(s)u, v^*\rangle} - 1 - i\langle f(s)u, v^*\rangle \, \mathbb{1}_{B_{\mathbb{R}}}(\langle f(s)u, v^*\rangle) \right) \nu(du)\, ds \Bigg).
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that the convolution $\xi := \gamma_S * \rho_2$ is a Radon measure in $\mathcal{B}(V)$. By multiplying the characteristic functions in (5.15) and (5.16) one obtains that the characteristic function of ξ coincides with the characteristic function of $Z(f)$ which is given in (5.11). Consequently, [33, Thml. IV.2.5, p.216] guarantees that there exists a random variable $I : \Omega \to V$ with $\langle I, v^*\rangle = Z(f)v^*$ for all $v^* \in V^*$, which shows the stochastic integrability of f.

Since $\xi = \delta_{b_f} * \gamma_S * \rho_1$ it is easy to see that the characteristics of the infinitely divisible probability measure ξ are of the claimed form.

(a) ⇒ (b): Let $I(f) : \Omega \to V$ denote the stochastic integral of f and let (b, S, μ) be the characteristics of the infinitely divisible random variable $I(f)$. Then, due to the uniqueness of the Lévy-Khintchine formula in $\mathbb{R}$, for each $v^* \in V^*$ the characteristics of the real-valued random variables $\langle I(f), v^*\rangle$ and $Z(f)v^*$ coincide which results in

$$\langle b, v^*\rangle - \int_V \langle v, v^*\rangle \big(\mathbb{1}_{B_V}(v) - \mathbb{1}_{B_{\mathbb{R}}}(\langle v, v^*\rangle)\big) \mu(dv) = \int_0^T p\left(f^*(s)v^*\right) ds, \tag{5.17}$$

$$\langle v,\ Sv^*\rangle = \int_0^T \langle f^*(s)v^*, Qf^*(s)v^*\rangle \, ds. \tag{5.18}$$

Here, we obtain the characteristics of $\langle I(f), v^*\rangle$ on the left hand side by a standard calculation for the transform of an infinitely divisible measure under a linear mapping, whereas the characteristics of $Z(f)v^*$ on the right hand side is given in (5.12) and (5.13). To show that the integral on the left hand side in (5.17) exists, let $v^* \in V^*$ and define $D(v^*) := \{v \in V : |\langle v, v^*\rangle| \leqslant 1\}$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_V |\langle v, v^*\rangle| \, |\mathbb{1}_{B_V}(v) - \mathbb{1}_{B_{\mathbb{R}}}(\langle v, v^*\rangle)| \, \mu(dv) \\
&\qquad = \int_{B_V \cap (D(v^*))^c} |\langle v, v^*\rangle| \, \mu(dv) + \int_{B_V^c \cap D(v^*)} |\langle v, v^*\rangle| \, \mu(dv) \\
&\qquad \leqslant \|v^*\| \int_{1 < |\langle v, v^*\rangle|} \mu(dv) + \mu(B_V^c). \\
&\qquad = \|v^*\| \, (\mu \circ \pi_{v^*}^{-1})(B_{\mathbb{R}}^c) + \mu(B_V^c).
\end{aligned}$$

Both terms are finite since $\mu \circ \pi_{v^*}^{-1}$ and μ are Lévy measures, see [16, Prop.5.4.5]. Equality (5.17) implies that κ is a V-valued functional and thus, it is weakly* continuous. It follows from equality (5.18) that $j_f j_f^*$ is the covariance operator of a Gaussian measure on $\mathcal{B}(V)$ which means that j_f is γ-radonifying.

For each $v_1^*, \dots, v_n^* \in V^*$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ it follows that the $\mathbb{R}^n$-valued infinitely divisible random variables $\big(\langle I(f), v_1^*\rangle, \dots, \langle I(f), v_n^*\rangle\big)$ and $\big(Z(f)v_1^*, \dots, Z(f)v_n^*\big)$ have the same Lévy measure, i.e.

$$\mu \circ \pi_{v_1^*,\dots,v_n^*}^{-1} = \Big(\big(\nu \otimes \text{leb}\big) \circ \chi_f^{-1}\Big) \circ \pi_{v_1^*,\dots,v_n^*}^{-1},$$

where $\pi_{v_1^*,\dots,v_n^*}$ is defined in Section 2. Consequently, the image cylindrical measure $(\nu \otimes \text{leb}) \circ \chi_f^{-1}$ extends to a Radon measure and the extension equals the Lévy measure μ.

$\square$

Condition (1) in Theorem 5.5 requires that ν_f is a Lévy measure on $\mathcal{B}(V)$ whereas Condition (3) requires that there exists a Gaussian Radon measure on $\mathcal{B}(V)$ with covariance operator $j_f j_f^*$. For both requirements there are no explicit conditions known in general Banach spaces. However, there are a few situations where one can significantly simplify these conditions. We demonstrate this simplification in a few results below. Examples of applying these results follow in the next sections.

Remark 5.6. For a well defined integral we expect that if $f : [0,T] \to \mathcal{L}(U,V)$ is stochastically integrable then the function

$$f_t : [0,T] \to \mathcal{L}(U,V) \qquad f_t(s) = \mathbb{1}_{[0,t]}(s) f(s)$$

is also stochastically integrable. Indeed, if f satisfies Condition (3) in Theorem 5.5 then one can show by Slepian's Lemma that f_t also meets this condition, see [34]. Deriving the same result for Condition (1) we firstly have to show that ν_{f_t} extends to a Radon measure if ν_f does so. This requires a generalisation of Prohorovs' Theorem for the radonification of finite cylindrical measures ([33, Th.VI.6.2]) to non-finite cylindrical measures. In a second step one has to establish that the inequality $\nu_{f_t} \leqslant \nu_f$, satisfied by the cylindrical measures ν_{f_t} and ν_f, generalises to their Radon extensions. In this case, Proposition 5.4.5 in [16] implies that the Radon extension of ν_{f_t} is a Lévy measure, i.e. ν_{f_t} satisfies also Condition (1) in Theorem 5.5. Unexpectedly, the same kind of reasoning cannot be applied to the deterministic part, i.e. it is not clear that f_t satisfies Condition (2) in Theorem 5.5 for $t \in [0,T]$ if f does. Due to this problem, and the rather lengthy, technical proof for the implication for Condition (2), we do not elaborate on this property further in this article, but postpone it to later work. For this reason, we have to require in a few results in the following sections that a function $f : [0,T] \to \mathcal{L}(U,V)$ is stochastically integrable on $[0,t]$ for all $t \in [0,T]$.

We begin with the most important case of a Hilbert space V with a given orthonormal basis $(e_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$. We define the space $V_n := \text{span}\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ and we denote by $\pi_n : V \to V$ the orthogonal projection on V_n for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Note, that since π_n is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator as a finite rank operator, the image cylindrical measure $\nu_f \circ \pi_n^{-1}$ extends to a Radon measure on $\mathcal{B}(V)$.

Theorem 5.7. *Assume that V is a separable Hilbert space. For a function $f : [0,T] \to \mathcal{L}(U,V)$ which is weakly in $D_+([0,T];U^*)$ the following are equivalent:*

(a) *f is stochastically integrable on $[0,T]$;*

(b) *the following is satisfied for (p_f, Q_f, ν_f) defined in (5.12) to (5.14):*

(1a) *for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a compact set $K \subseteq V$ such that*

$$\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \big(\nu_f \circ \pi_n^{-1}\big)(K \cap B_V^c) < \infty, \qquad \sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \big(\nu_f \circ \pi_n^{-1}\big)(K^c \cap B_V^c) \leqslant \varepsilon;$$

(1b) $\displaystyle \lim_{m\to\infty}\sup_{n\geqslant m}\sup \int_V \left(\sum_{k=m}^{n} \langle e_k, v\rangle^2 \wedge 1\right) \nu_f(dv) = 0;$

(2) *there exists $b_f \in V$ such that for all $v^* \in V$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have*

$$\langle b_f, v^*\rangle = \int_0^T p(f^*(s)\pi_n v^*)\, ds + \int_V \langle v, v^*\rangle \big(\mathbb{1}_{B_V}(v) - \mathbb{1}_{B_\mathbb{R}}(\langle v, v^*\rangle)\big) \,(\nu_f \circ \pi_n^{-1})(dv);$$

(3) $\displaystyle \int_0^T \operatorname{tr}\left[f(s)Qf^*(s)\right] ds < \infty.$

In this situation, the stochastic integral of f is an infinitely divisible random variable in V with characteristics (b_f, Q_f, ν_f).

Proof. In order to see the reformulation of condition (3) in Theorem 5.5, note that the class of γ-radonifying operators coincides with Hilbert-Schmidt operators in Hilbert spaces. We obtain for the Hilbert-Schmidt norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}_2(V^*,L^2)}$ of $j_f^* : V^* \to L^2([0,T]; H_Q)$

$$\|j_f^*\|^2_{\mathcal{L}_2(V^*,L^2)} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_0^T [i^* f^*(s)e_k, i^* f^*(s)e_k]_{H_Q}\, ds = \int_0^T \operatorname{tr}[f(s)Qf^*(s)]\, ds.$$

Thus, the conditions (3) in Theorem 5.5 and Corollary 5.7 are equivalent.
Due to the first part of the proof, we can assume in the following that L is a cylindrical Lévy process with cylindrical characteristics $(p, 0, \nu)$. If f is stochastically integrable it follows from Theorem 5.5 that ν_f extends to a Radon measure and it is a Lévy measure in V. Since V is a Hilbert space, the latter means

$$\int_V \left(\|v\|^2 \wedge 1\right) \nu_f(dv) < \infty, \tag{5.19}$$

which shows Condition (1b). Moreover, the Lévy measure ν_f is finite on $\mathcal{B}(V) \cap B_V^c$ which implies Condition (1a).
It remains to show that Conditions (1a), (1b) and (2) imply that the cylindrical distribution of $Z(f)$ extends to a Radon measure. Since $Z(f)$ is a cylindrical random variable, the function

$$\varphi_{\rho_n} : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{C}, \qquad \varphi_{\rho_n}((\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n)) = \varphi_{Z(f)}(\beta_1 e_1 + \dots + \beta_n e_n)$$

is the characteristic function of a probability measure ρ_n on $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By considering ρ_n as a measure on V_n we define a Radon measure

$$\mu_n : \mathcal{B}(V) \to [0,1], \qquad \mu_n(B) := \rho_n(B \cap V_n). \tag{5.20}$$

It follows for each $v^* \in V$ that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \varphi_{\mu_n}(v^*) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \varphi_{Z(f)}\big(\langle e_1, v^*\rangle e_1 + \cdots + \langle e_n, v^*\rangle e_n\big) = \varphi_{Z(f)}(v^*). \tag{5.21}$$

We show in the following that $(\mu_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges weakly to a Radon measure which coincides with the cylindrical distribution of $Z(f)$ on $\mathcal{Z}(V)$ due to (5.21).
Firstly, we show that $(\mu_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is relatively shift compact. Equivalently, we establish that $(\tilde{\mu}_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is weakly relatively compact, where $\tilde{\mu}_n := \mu_n * \mu_n^-$ and $\mu_n^-(B) := \mu_n(-B)$ for all $B \in \mathcal{B}(V)$, see[16, Prop.2.5.5]. By using the inequality $1 - \cos\beta \leqslant 2(\beta^2 \wedge 1)$ for all $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ we obtain for every $v^* \in V$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
1 - \varphi_{\tilde{\mu}_n}(v^*) &= 1 - \exp\left(\int_V \big(\cos(\langle v, v^*\rangle) - 1\big)\,(\nu_f + \nu_f^-)(dv)\right) \\
&\leqslant \int_V \big(1 - \cos(\langle v, v^*\rangle)\big)\,(\nu_f + \nu_f^-)(dv) \\
&\leqslant 2\int_V \big(\langle v, v^*\rangle^2 \wedge 1\big)\,(\nu_f + \nu_f^-)(dv) \\
&\leqslant 4\int_V \big(\langle v, v^*\rangle^2 \wedge 1\big)\,\nu_f(dv).
\end{aligned}$$

Let g_m denote the density of the standard normal distribution on $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^m)$ and let $Y_1, \dots, Y_m$ be independent, standard normally distributed real-valued random variables. For every $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m \leqslant n$ it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-m+1}} \Big(1 - \operatorname{Re}\varphi_{\tilde{\mu}_n}(\beta_m e_m + \cdots + \beta_n e_n)\Big) g_{n-m+1}(\beta_m, \dots, \beta_n)\, d\beta_m \cdots d\beta_n \\
&\quad\leqslant 4\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-m+1}} \int_V \left(\left|\sum_{k=m}^n \beta_k \langle v, e_k\rangle\right|^2 \wedge 1\right) \nu_f(dv)\, g_{n-m+1}(\beta_m, \dots, \beta_n)\, d\beta_m \cdots d\beta_n \\
&\quad= 4\int_V E\left[\left|\sum_{k=m}^n Y_k \langle v, e_k\rangle\right|^2 \wedge 1\right] \nu_f(dv) \\
&\quad\leqslant 4\int_V \left(E\left[\left|\sum_{k=m}^n Y_k \langle v, e_k\rangle\right|^2\right] \wedge 1\right) \nu_f(dv) \\
&\quad= 4\int_V \left(\sum_{k=m}^n \langle v, e_k\rangle^2 \wedge 1\right) \nu_f(dv).
\end{aligned}$$

Condition (1b) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
\limsup_{m\to\infty} \sup_{n\geqslant m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-m+1}} &\Big(1 - \operatorname{Re}\varphi_{\tilde{\mu}_n}(\beta_m e_m + \cdots + \beta_n e_n)\Big) g_{n-m+1}(\beta_m, \dots, \beta_n)\, d\beta_m \cdots d\beta_n \\
&= 0,
\end{aligned}$$

which shows by [23, Le.VI.2.3] that the family $\{\tilde{\mu}_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is weakly relatively compact.
Next we show that the set $(\varphi_{\mu_n})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is equicontinuous at 0 in the topology of uniform convergence on compact sets in V. Here, the topology, denoted by $\tau_c = \tau_c(V^*, V)$, is generated by the seminorms $\{d_K : K \subseteq V \text{ compact}\}$, where

$$d_K(v^*) := \sup_{v\in K} |\langle v, v^*\rangle| \,.$$

Condition (2) enables us to rearrange the characteristic function for every $v^* \in V$ to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\varphi_{\mu_n}(v^*) = \varphi_{Z(f)}(\pi_n v^*) \\
&= \exp\left(i \int_0^T p(f^*(s)\pi_n v^*)\, ds + \int_V \left(e^{i\langle v, \pi_n v^*\rangle} - 1 - i\langle v, \pi_n v^*\rangle\, \mathbb{1}_{B_{\mathbb{R}}}(\langle v, \pi_n v^*\rangle)\right)\, \nu_f(dv)\right) \\
&= \exp\Bigg(i\langle b_f, \pi_n v^*\rangle + i\int_V \langle v, v^*\rangle \big(\mathbb{1}_{B_{\mathbb{R}}}(\langle v, v^*\rangle) - \mathbb{1}_{B_V}(v)\big)\, (\nu_f \circ \pi_n^{-1})(dv) \\
&\qquad + \int_V \left(e^{i\langle \pi_n v, v^*\rangle} - 1 - i\langle \pi_n v, v^*\rangle\, \mathbb{1}_{B_{\mathbb{R}}}(\langle \pi_n v, v^*\rangle)\right)\, \nu_f(dv)\Bigg) \qquad (5.22)
\end{aligned}$$

For every $m \leqslant n$ and $v^* \in B_V$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
|\langle b_f, \pi_n v^*\rangle| &\leqslant \sum_{k=1}^{m} |\langle b_f, e_k\rangle\langle v^*, e_k\rangle| + \sum_{k=m+1}^{n} |\langle b_f, e_k\rangle\langle v^*, e_k\rangle| \\
&\leqslant d_K(v^*) \sum_{k=1}^{m} |\langle b_f, e_k\rangle| + \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} \langle b_f, e_k\rangle^2
\end{aligned}$$

for $K = \overline{p_m(B_V)}$, which shows the τ_c-equicontinuity of the mapping $v^* \mapsto \langle b_f, \pi_n v^*\rangle$ on B_V. For each compact subset $K \subseteq V$ and $v^* \in B_V$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_V |\langle v, v^*\rangle|\, |\mathbb{1}_{B_{\mathbb{R}}}(\langle v, v^*\rangle) - \mathbb{1}_{B_V}(v)|\, (\nu_f \circ \pi_n^{-1})(dv) \\
&\qquad \leqslant p_K(v^*)(\nu_f \circ \pi_n^{-1})(K \cap B_V^c) + (\nu_f \circ \pi_n^{-1})(K^c \cap B_V^c).
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, Condition (1a) shows the τ_c-equicontinuity of the second term in (5.22). For the last term in (5.22), we conclude for each $v \in V$, $v^* \in B_V$ and $m \leqslant n$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tfrac{1}{2}\left(e^{i\langle \pi_n v, v^*\rangle} - 1 - i\langle \pi_n v, v^*\rangle\, \mathbb{1}_{B_{\mathbb{R}}}(\langle \pi_n v, v^*\rangle)\right) \\
&\qquad \leqslant \left(\langle \pi_n v, v^*\rangle^2 \wedge 1\right) \\
&\qquad \leqslant \left(2\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} \langle v, e_k\rangle\langle v^*, e_k\rangle\right)^2 + 2\left(\sum_{k=m+1}^{n} \langle v, e_k\rangle\langle v^*, e_k\rangle\right)^2\right) \wedge 1 \\
&\qquad \leqslant \left(2m\,(p_K(v^*))^2 \sum_{k=1}^{m} \langle v, e_k\rangle^2 \wedge 1\right) + \left(2\,\|v^*\|^2 \sum_{k=m+1}^{n} \langle v, e_k\rangle^2 \wedge 1\right),
\end{aligned}$$

where $K = \overline{p_m(B_V)}$. For a given $\varepsilon > 0$ Condition (1b) enables us to choose m such that

$$\sup_{n \geqslant m+1} \int_V \left(2 \sum_{k=m+1}^{n} \langle v, e_k\rangle^2 \wedge 1\right) \nu_f(dv) \leqslant \varepsilon.$$

It follows for each $v^* \in B_V$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tfrac{1}{2}\int_V \Big(e^{i\langle \pi_n v, v^*\rangle} - 1 - i\langle \pi_n v, v^*\rangle\, \mathbb{1}_{B_{\mathbb{R}}}(\langle \pi_n v, v^*\rangle)\Big)\, \nu_f(dv)\\
&\qquad \leqslant \int_V \left(2m\, (p_K(v^*))^2 \sum_{k=1}^{m} \langle v, e_k\rangle^2 \wedge 1 \right) \nu_f(dv) + \int_V \left(2 \sum_{k=m+1}^{n} \langle v, e_k\rangle^2 \wedge 1 \right) \nu_f(dv)\\
&\qquad \leqslant \sum_{k=1}^{m} \int_V \Big(2m\, (p_K(v^*))^2\, \langle v, e_k\rangle^2 \wedge 1 \Big)\, \nu_f(dv) + \varepsilon\\
&\qquad \leqslant \sum_{k=1}^{m} \sup_{\|v^*\| \leqslant \sqrt{2m}\, p_K(v^*)} \int_V \big(\langle v, v^*\rangle^2 \wedge 1 \big)\, \nu_f(dv) + \varepsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 3.1 implies that φ_{μ_n} is τ_c-equicontinuous in 0 on B_V. Since $(\mu_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is relatively shift compact and $(\varphi_{\mu_n})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is τ_c-equicontinuous, it follows from [16, Th.2.3.7], that $(\mu_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is weakly relatively compact. Due to (5.21) the sequence $(\mu_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges weakly to a Radon measure which coincides with the cylindrical distribution of $Z(f)$ on $\mathcal{Z}(V)$. □

Remark 5.8.

(a) The proof of Theorem 5.7 shows that Condition (1a) in Theorem 5.7 can be replaced by

  (1a′) the sequence $(s_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is τ_c-equicontinuous at zero, where

$$s_n : V^* \to \mathbb{R}, \qquad s_n(v^*) = \int_V \langle v, v^*\rangle \big(\mathbb{1}_{B_{\mathbb{R}}}(\langle v, v^*\rangle) - \mathbb{1}_{B_V}(v)\big)\, (\nu_f \circ \pi_n^{-1})(dv).$$

(b) If ν is symmetric then ν_f is also symmetric. In this case the previous remark guarantees that Condition (1) in Corollary 5.7 is satisfied. If in addition the first entry p of the cylindrical characteristics (p, Q, ν) of L is zero, then also Condition (2) in Corollary 5.7 is satisfied.

Corollary 5.9. *Under the assumption of Theorem 5.5 we have the following:*

(a) *If V is of type $p \in [1,2]$, then Condition (b) of Theorem 5.5 with (1) replaced by*

  (1′) *ν_f extends to a Radon measure and* $\int_V \Big(\|v\|^p \wedge 1\Big)\, \nu_f(dv) < \infty$,

  implies that f is stochastically integrable $[0,T]$.

(b) *If V is of cotype $q \in [2,\infty)$ then the stochastically integrability of f on $[0,T]$ implies Condition (b) of Theorem 5.5 with (1) replaced by*

  (1′) *ν_f extends to a Radon measure and* $\int_V \Big(\|v\|^q \wedge 1\Big)\, \nu_f(dv) < \infty$.

Proof. The reformulation of Condition (1) in Theorem 5.5 follows in both cases from the article [7]. In this work the authors establish sufficient conditions in Banach spaces of type p and necesssary conditions in Banach spaces of cotype q for a σ-finite Radon measure to be a Lévy measure. □

Corollary 5.10. *If V is the space ℓ^p of sequences for $p \in [2,\infty)$ equipped with the standard basis $(e_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$, then Condition (b) in Theorem 5.5 can be replaced by*

(b) *the following is satisfied for* (p_f, Q_f, ν_f) *defined in* (5.12) *to* (5.14)*:*

(1a) ν_f *extends to a Radon measure on* $\mathcal{B}(V)$*;*

(1b) $\displaystyle\int_V (\|v\|^p \wedge 1)\, \nu_f(dv) < \infty.$

(1c) $\displaystyle\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\int_{\|v\|\leqslant 1} |\langle v, e_j\rangle|^2 \, \nu_f(dv) \right)^{p/2} < \infty.$

(2) *the mapping* $\kappa : V^* \to \mathbb{R}$ *is weakly** *sequentially continuous where*

$$\kappa(v^*) = \int_0^T p(f^*(s)v^*)\, ds + \int_V \langle v, v^*\rangle \big(\mathbb{1}_{B_V}(v) - \mathbb{1}_{B_{\mathbb{R}}}(\langle v, v^*\rangle)\big)\, \nu_f(dv);$$

(3) $\displaystyle\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\int_0^T \|i^* f^*(s) e_k\|_{H_Q}^2 \, ds \right)^{p/2} < \infty;$

Proof. Condition (3) can be rewritten as

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \big|\langle j_f j_f^* e_k, e_k\rangle\big|^{p/2} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \big\|j_f^* e_k\big\|_{L^2}^p = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\int_0^T \|i^* f^*(s) e_k\|_{H_Q}^2 \, ds \right)^{p/2}.$$

According to [33, Thm. 5.6, p. 334] the operator $j_f j_f^* : V^* \to V$ is a Gaussian covariance operator if and only if the sum on the left hand side is finite.
The class of Lévy measures in ℓ^p is described by the conditions in (1b) and (1c) according to a result in [15]. ☐

6 Cauchy problem

In this section we apply our results on stochastic integration to consider a stochastic Cauchy problem with respect to a cylindrical Lévy process $(L(t) : t \geqslant 0)$ in a separable Banach space U, that is

$$\begin{aligned} dY(t) &= AY(t)\, dt + B\, dL(t) \qquad \text{for all } t \in [0, T], \\ Y(0) &= y_0. \end{aligned} \tag{6.1}$$

Here, A is the generator of a C_0-semigroup $(S(t))_{t\geqslant 0}$ on a separable Banach space V and $B : U \to V$ is an operator in $\mathcal{L}(U, V)$. The initial conditional y_0 is assumed to be an element in V. The classical definition of a weak solution reads as follows.

Definition 6.1. A V-valued stochastic process $(Y(t) : t \in [0, T])$ is called a solution of (6.1) if it is weakly progressively measurable and for every $v^* \in \mathcal{D}(A^*)$ and $t \in [0, T]$ we have, P-a.s.,

$$\langle Y(t), v^*\rangle = \langle y_0, v^*\rangle + \int_0^t \langle Y(s), A^* v^*\rangle\, ds + L(t)(B^* v^*). \tag{6.2}$$

It turns out in the following result that the solution exhibits a further regularity property which is important for establishing a necessary condition for the existence of a solution.

Definition 6.2. A V-valued stochastic process $(X(t) : t \geqslant 0)$ is called *weakly Bochner regular* if for every sequence $(g_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq C([0,T];V^*)$ with $\sup_{s\in[0,T]} \|g_n(s)\|_{V^*} \to 0$ there exists a subsequence $(g_{n_k})_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq (g_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$\int_0^T \langle X(s), g_{n_k}(s)\rangle \, ds \to 0 \quad P\text{-a.s. as } k \to \infty.$$

Note, that if X has a.s. Bochner integrable paths on $[0,T]$ the process X is also weakly Bochner regular.

Theorem 6.3. *If the mapping $s \mapsto S(s)B$ is stochastically integrable on $[0,t]$ w.r.t. L for each $t \in [0,T]$, then there exists a weakly Bochner regular solution of* (6.1). *In this situation, the solution is represented by*

$$Y(t) = S(t)y_0 + \int_0^t S(t-s)B\, dL(s) \qquad \textit{for all } t \in [0,T].$$

Proof. We can assume $y_0 = 0$ due to linearity and we define for each $t \in [0,T]$ the function

$$f_t : [0,T] \to \mathcal{L}(U,V), \qquad f_t(s) = \mathbb{1}_{[0,t]}(s)S(s)B.$$

Our assumption guarantees that every f_t is stochastically integrable. It can be seen from (5.11) that the characteristic functions of $Z(f_t(t-\cdot))$ and $Z(f_t(\cdot))$ coincide. Consequently, $s \mapsto \mathbb{1}_{[0,t]}(s)S(t-s)B$ is stochastically integrable and we may define

$$Y(t) := \int_0^t S(t-s)B\, dL(s) \qquad \text{for all } t \in [0,T].$$

In this situation, it is straightforward to establish a simplified version of a Fubini type result, that is

$$\int_0^t \left(\int_0^t \mathbb{1}_{[0,s]}(r)B^*S^*(s-r)v^*\, dL(r)\right) ds = \int_0^t \left(\int_0^t \mathbb{1}_{[0,s]}(r)B^*S^*(s-r)v^*\, ds\right) dL(r)$$

P-a.s. for all $v^* \in V^*$. The stochastic integrals here and in the following are the cylindrical integrals $Z(f_t(t-\cdot))$ introduced in Lemma 5.3. We obtain for each $v^* \in \mathcal{D}(A^*)$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^t \langle Y(s), A^*v^*\rangle\, ds &= \int_0^t \left(\int_0^s B^*S^*(s-r)A^*v^*\, dL(r)\right) ds \\
&= \int_0^t \left(\int_r^t B^*S^*(s-r)A^*v^*\, ds\right) dL(r) \\
&= \int_0^t \left(B^*A^* \int_r^t S^*(s-r)v^*\, ds\right) dL(r) \\
&= \int_0^t \left(B^*S^*(t-r)v^* - B^*v^*\right) dL(r) \\
&= \langle Y(t), v^*\rangle - L(t)(B^*v^*).
\end{aligned}$$

The real-valued stochastic process $\left(Z(f_t^*(t-\cdot))v^* : t \in [0,T]\right)$ is measurable for each $v^* \in V^*$ which can be seen by firstly approximating the integrand $f_t^*(t-\cdot)v^*$ by simple

functions. Since $\langle Y(t), v^*\rangle = Z(f_t^*(t-\cdot))v^*$ for every $v^* \in V^*$, Pettis' measurability theorem implies that $Y := (Y(t) : t \in [0,T])$ is measurable. Since the stochastic process Y is adapted, it is also progressively measurable.
In order to show that Y is weakly Bochner regular let $(g_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $C([0,T];V^*)$ with $g_n \to 0$. The random variable $\int_0^T \langle Y(s), g_n(s)\rangle\, ds$ is infinitely divisible and its Lévy symbol is given by

$$\Phi_n : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}, \qquad \Phi_n(\beta) = \int_0^T \int_0^s \Psi(\beta B^* S^*(s-r) g_n(s))\, dr\, ds,$$

where $\Psi : U^* \to \mathbb{C}$ is the cylindrical Lévy symbol of L. It follows from Lemma 5.1 for every $s \in [0,T]$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ that

$$\int_0^s \Psi(\beta B^* S^*(s-r) g_n(s))\, dr \to 0 \qquad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$

Due to $\sup_{s\in[0,T]} \sup_{r\in[0,s]} \|\beta B^* S^*(s-r) g_n(s)\| < \infty$ it follows by the same estimates as in the proof of Lemma 5.1 that

$$\sup_{s\in[0,T]} \sup_{r\in[0,s]} |\Psi(\beta B^* S^*(s-r) g_n(s))| < \infty.$$

Lebesgue's theorem of dominated convergence implies $\Phi_n(\beta) \to 0$ for every $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, which shows the weakly Bochner regularity of Y. ☐

Proposition 6.4. *Let V be a reflexive Banach space. If there exists a weakly Bochner regular solution of* (6.1) *then the mapping $s \mapsto S(s)B$ is stochastically integrable on $[0,t]$ w.r.t. L for each $t \in [0,T]$.*

Proof. One establishes for $f \in C^1([0,T];\mathbb{R})$ by firstly approximating f by step functions, the identity

$$\int_0^t f(s)\, d\big(L(s)u^*\big) = \int_0^t f(s) u^*\, dL(s) \qquad P\text{-a.s. for every } u^* \in U^*,\ t \in [0,T],$$

where on the left hand side the integral is a Lebesgue-Stieltjes integral and on the right hand side the cylindrical integral introduced in Lemma 5.3. By integration by parts it follows for $f \in C^1([0,T];\mathbb{R})$ and $v^* \in V^*$ that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^t f'(s) L(s)(B^*v^*)\, ds &= f(t)L(t)(B^*v^*) - \int_0^t f(s)\, d(L(s)(B^*v^*)) \\ &= f(t)L(t)(B^*v^*) - \int_0^t f(s) B^* v^*\, dL(s). \qquad (6.3)\end{aligned}$$

Next we can follow the lines in [34] and show for the solution Y and for all $t \in [0,T]$, $v^* \in \mathcal{D}(A^*)$ we have, P-a.s.,

$$\langle Y(t), v^*\rangle = \int_0^t B^* S^*(t-s) v^*\, dL(s). \qquad (6.4)$$

For that, let $f \in C^1([0,T];\mathbb{R})$ and $v^* \in \mathcal{D}(A^*)$ and observe that integration by parts and equation (6.3) yield

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^t f'(s)\langle Y(s), v^*\rangle \, ds \\
&= \int_0^t f'(s) \left(\int_0^s \langle Y(r), A^* v^*\rangle \, dr \right) ds + \int_0^t f'(s) L(s)(B^* v^*) \, ds \\
&= f(t) \int_0^t \langle Y(s), A^* v^*\rangle \, ds - \int_0^t f(s)\langle Y(s), A^* v^*\rangle \, ds \\
&\qquad + f(t) L(t)(B^* v^*) - \int_0^t f(s) B^* v^* \, dL(ds).
\end{aligned}$$

By multiplying (6.2) with $f(t)$ and putting $F = f \otimes v^*$ we therefore obtain

$$\langle Y(t), F(t)\rangle = \int_0^t \langle Y(s), F'(s) + A^* F(s)\rangle \, ds + \int_0^t B^* F(s) \, dL(s). \tag{6.5}$$

We can find a sequence $F_n \in \operatorname{span}\{f \otimes w^* : f \in C^1([0,t];\mathbb{R}),\, w^* \in \mathcal{D}(A^*)\}$ such that F_n converges to $G := S^*(t-\cdot)v^*$ in $C^1([0,t];V^*) \cap C([0,t];\mathcal{D}(A^*))$. The weakly Bochner regularity implies for a subsequence that

$$\int_0^t \langle Y(s), F'_{n_k}(s) + A^* F_{n_k}(s)\rangle \, ds \to 0 \qquad P\text{-a.s. for } k \to \infty. \tag{6.6}$$

Since $F_n \to G$ in $C([0,t];V^*)$ it follows from Lemma 5.3 that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_0^t B^* F_n(s) \, dL(s) = \int_0^t B^* G(s) \, ds \qquad \text{in probability.}$$

Consequently, the equality (6.5) holds true for F replaced by G, which results in equality (6.4), i.e.

$$\langle Y(t), v^*\rangle = Z(f_t(t-\cdot))v^* \qquad \text{for all } v^* \in \mathcal{D}(A^*). \tag{6.7}$$

Consequently, the characteristic functions of $Y(t)$ and $Z(f_t(t-\cdot))$ coincide on $D(A^*)$. Since the characteristic function of both $Y(t)$ and $Z(f_t(t-\cdot)$ are continuous in the strong topology and $\mathcal{D}(A^*)$ is dense in V^* it follows from (6.7) that these characteristic functions coincide on V^*, which shows the stochastically integrability of $f_t(t-\cdot)$. □

Example 6.5. We consider the following example of a stochastic Cauchy problem, which is treated in [26]. Let $A : \mathcal{D}(A) \to V$ be the generator of a strongly continuous semigroup$(S(t))_{t\geqslant 0}$ on a Hilbert space V with an orthonormal basis $(e_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{D}(A)$. We assume that A is self-adjoint and satisfies $Ae_k = -\gamma_k e_k$ for some $\gamma_k > 0$ with $\gamma_k \to \infty$. Let L be the cylindrical Lévy process in $U = V$ defined by

$$L(t)v^* = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle e_k, v^*\rangle \sigma_k h_k(t), \qquad \text{for all } v^* \in V,\, t \geqslant 0,$$

where $(\sigma_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \in \ell^{2\alpha/(2-\alpha)}$ and $(h_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ are independent, identically distributed, standardised, symmetric, α-stable Lévy processes, see Example 4.5. Lemma 4.2 guarantees that the cylindrical characteristics of L is of the form $(0,0,\nu)$ where ν satisfies $\nu \circ \pi_{e_k}^{-1} = \rho \circ m_{\sigma_k}^{-1}$. We consider the stochastic Cauchy problem

$$dY(t) = AY(t)\, dt + dL(t) \qquad \text{for all } t \in [0,T]. \tag{6.8}$$

According to Theorem 6.3 and Proposition 6.4 the stochastic Cauchy problem has a weakly regular Bochner solution if and only if $s \mapsto S(s)$ is stochastically integrable on $[0,t]$ with respect to L for each $t \in [0,T]$, for which Corollary 5.7 provides necessary and sufficient conditions. Since the first two entries of the cylindrical characteristics of L are zero and due to Remark 5.8.b, these conditions reduce to Condition (1b)

$$\limsup_{m\to\infty} \sup_{n\geqslant m} \int_V \left(\sum_{k=m}^{n} \langle e_k, v\rangle^2 \wedge 1 \right) \nu_{S|_{[0,t]}}(dv) = 0,$$

for all $t \in [0,T]$. Clearly, it is sufficient to show this condition for $t = T$. The independence of $(h_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ implies that the cylindrical Lévy measure ν has only support in $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k$, where $E_k :=$span$\{e_k\}$. Consequently, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_V \left(\sum_{k=m}^{n} \langle e_k, v\rangle^2 \wedge 1 \right) \nu_S(dv) &= \int_0^T \int_U \left(\sum_{k=m}^{n} \langle e_k, S(s)u\rangle^2 \wedge 1 \right) \nu(du)\, ds \\
&= \sum_{k=m}^{n} \int_0^T \int_{E_k} \left(\langle e^{-\gamma_k s} e_k, u\rangle^2 \wedge 1 \right) \nu(du)\, ds \\
&= \sum_{k=m}^{n} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\left|e^{-\gamma_k s}\beta\right|^2 \wedge 1 \right) (\nu \circ \pi_{e_k}^{-1})(d\beta) ds \\
&= \sum_{k=m}^{n} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\left|\sigma_k e^{-\gamma_k s}\beta\right|^2 \wedge 1 \right) \rho(d\beta) ds \\
&= \sum_{k=m}^{n} \frac{2}{\alpha^2(2-\alpha)} \frac{|\sigma_k|^\alpha}{\gamma_k} \left(1 - e^{-\gamma_k \alpha T}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, there exists a weakly Bochner regular solution of (6.8) if and only if

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\sigma_k|^\alpha}{\gamma_k} < \infty. \tag{6.9}$$

7 Spatial regularity

In this and the next section we consider regularity properties of the solution of (6.1). Obviously, properties of the solution Y depend on the cylindrical process $(BL(t) : t \geqslant 0)$ in V, defined by $BL(t)v^* := L(t)(B^*v^*)$, $v^* \in V^*$, rather than solely on L. However, since BL defines a cylindrical Lévy process in V we can and will assume the noise acting on the same space as the semigroup. More specifically, we consider

$$\begin{aligned}
dY(t) &= AY(t)\, dt + dL(t) \qquad \text{for } t \in [0,T], \\
Y(0) &= y_0,
\end{aligned} \tag{7.1}$$

where A is the generator of a strongly continuous semigroup $(S(t))_{t\geqslant 0}$ on a separable Banach space V, $y_0 \in V$ and L is a cylindrical Lévy process in V. Assume that there exists a solution $(Y(t) : t \in [0,T])$ of (7.1).

Spatial regularity refers to the following question: if the operators $S(t) : V \to V$ have in fact range in a smaller Banach space $W \subseteq V$, does then the solution Y attain values in the smaller space W although the noise lives in the larger space V?

Answer: If the function

$$f_V : [0,t] \to \mathcal{L}(V,V), \qquad f_V(s) = S(s)v$$

is stochastically integrable for each $t \in [0,T]$ then Theorem 6.3 guarantees that the solution Y of (7.1) in V is given by

$$Y(t) = S(t)y_0 + \int_0^t S(t-s)\, dL(s) \qquad \text{for all } t \in [0,T].$$

Consequently, the solution $Y(t)$ has values in a smaller Banach space $W \subseteq V$ if and only if the stochastic integral is a W-valued random variable, which, according to Definition 5.4, requires that

$$f_W : [0,T] \to \mathcal{L}(V,W), \qquad f_W(t) = S(t)v$$

is stochastically integrable.

Example 7.1. (continues Example 4.7)
We consider now equation (7.1) in a separable Banach space V driven by the subordinated cylindrical Wiener process introduced in Example 4.7. Recall that H_C denotes the RKHS of the subordinated cylindrical Wiener process and $i_C : H_C \to V$ its embedding. We firstly assume that the cylindrical Lévy process L does not have a Gaussian part, that is we take a subordinator with characteristics $(0,0,\rho)$. Let W be a Banach space of type $p \in [1,2]$. Then we claim that a function $f : [0,T] \to L(V,W)$ is stochastically integrable if

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^T \Big(|r|^{p/2} \, \|f(s) \circ i_C\|^p_{R_p(H_C,W)} \wedge 1 \Big)\, ds\, \rho(dr) < \infty. \tag{7.2}$$

Here, $R(H_C,W) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(H_C,W)$ denotes the space of γ-radonifying operators $g : H_C \to W$ and

$$\|g\|^p_{R_p(H_C,W)} := E\left[\left\| \sum_{k=1}^\infty \gamma_k g h_k \right\|^p_W \right],$$

where $(\gamma_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a family of independent, real-valued standard normally distributed random variables and $(h_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is an orthonormal basis in H_C. We establish our claim by verifying the conditions in Theorem 5.5 and in Corollary 5.9.
Since $f(s) \circ i_C : H_C \to W$ is assumed to be γ-radonifying for every $s \in [0,T]$ and

$$\nu_f = \big(\nu \otimes \text{leb}\big) \circ \chi_f^{-1} = \Big(\big((\gamma \otimes \rho) \circ \kappa^{-1} \big) \otimes \text{leb} \Big) \circ \chi_f^{-1}$$

it follows that ν_f extends to a Radon measure.
The symmetry of the canonical Gaussian cylindrical measure γ implies that the cylindrical Lévy measure ν is symmetric, and thus the image cylindrical measure ν_f is so. It follows that Condition (2) in Theorem 5.5 is satisfied and it remains to show the second

part of Condition (1′) in Corollary 5.9:

$$\begin{aligned}\int_V \Big(\|v\|^p \wedge 1 \Big)\, \nu_f(dv) &= \int_0^T \int_V \Big(\|f(s)v\|^p \wedge 1 \Big) \nu(dv) ds \\ &= \int_0^T \int_0^\infty \int_{H_C} \Big(\big\| f(s)(\sqrt{r}\, i_C h) \big\|^p \wedge 1 \Big)\, \gamma(dh) \rho(dr) ds \\ &\leqslant \int_0^T \int_0^\infty \Big(|r|^{p/2} \int_{H_C} \big(\|f(s)(i_C h)\|^p \big)\, \gamma(dh) \wedge 1 \Big)\, \rho(dr) ds \\ &= \int_0^T \int_0^\infty \Big(|r|^{p/2}\, \|f(s) \circ i_C\|^p_{R_p(H,W)} \wedge 1 \Big)\, \rho(dr)\, ds. \qquad (7.3)\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have shown that Condition (7.2) implies the integrability of $f : V \to W$ for each Banach space W of type $p \geqslant 1$. Since every Banach space is of type 1, we obtain the integrability of f in an arbitrary Banach space W if Condition (7.2) is satisfied for $p = 1$.

If there is a Gaussian part of the cylindrical Lévy process L, we have to show that the operator i_f defined in Condition (3) in Theorem 5.5 is γ-radonifying. This problem is considered in [34] and [35].

Let Y denote the solution of (7.1) in this setting and assume that $(S(t))_{t\geqslant 0}$ is a semigroup on a separable Banach space W of type $p \in [1, 2]$ such that $S(t)$ is in $\mathcal{L}(V, W)$ for each $t \geqslant 0$. If the semigroup satisfies

$$\|S(t) \circ i_C\|_{R_p(H_C,W)} \leqslant ct^{-\beta} \qquad \text{for all } t \in [0, T], \qquad (7.4)$$

for a constant $\beta < \frac{1}{p}$ and a constant $c > 0$ and if $\int_0^\infty \sqrt{r}\ \rho(dr) < \infty$, then it satisfies (7.2). Thus, in this case the solution Y is in the smaller space W although the cylindrical noise is in V.

The approach in [4] requires the authors to work in a larger Banach space X where the cylindrical Lévy process L becomes a classical one. For that reason they can only consider a function $g : [0, T] \to \mathcal{L}(X, W)$ and they obtain the stochastic integrability of g if

$$\int_0^\infty |r|^{p/2}\ \rho(dr) < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^T |g(s)|^p_{\mathcal{L}(X,W)}\ ds < \infty. \qquad (7.5)$$

Assume that g satisfies condition (7.5) and define $f := g \circ j$, where $j : H_C \to X$ denotes the γ-radonifying embedding. The ideal property of $R(H_C, W)$ implies that $\|f(s)\|_{R_p(H_C,W)} \leqslant \|g(s)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X,W)}\, \|j\|_{R_p(H_C,X)}$ for all $s \in [0, T]$ and we see that condition (7.5) implies our condition (7.2). Thus, our condition for integrability is not only weaker but it also states the condition for integrability of the function without introducing the larger space X as in (7.5). In particular, the approach in [4] leads to the condition

$$\|S(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X,W)} \leqslant ct^{-\beta} \qquad \text{for all } t \in [0, T],\ \beta < \tfrac{1}{p},$$

to guarantee that the solution of (7.1) is in W. Again, this condition is stronger than (7.4) and considers the semigroup $(S(t))_{t\geqslant 0}$ on the larger space X which is not related to the equation under consideration at all.

8 Temporal regularity

For the solution of equation (7.1) there are different kinds of regularity in time.

Definition 8.1. Let $(Y(t) : t \in [0,T])$ be a stochastic process in a separable Banach space V.

- (a) Y is said to have a *càdlàg modification* if there exists a modification of Y in V with càdlàg trajectories.
- (b) Y is said to have a *weak càdlàg modification* if there exists a modification of Y in V such that for every $v^* \in V^*$ the stochastic process $(\langle Y(t), v^*\rangle : t \in [0,T])$ has càdlàg trajectories.
- (c) Y is said to have a *cylindrical càdlàg modification in a subspace $D \subseteq V^*$* if for every $v^* \in D$ the stochastic process $(\langle Y(t), v^*\rangle : t \in [0,T])$ has a càdlàg modification.

The Lévy nature of the driving noise in (7.1) implicates that the solution might also have jumps. This relation may exclude regularity properties of the solution of (7.1) if the noise is cylindrical, which is illustrated in the following example.

Example 8.2. Let L be a cylindrical Lévy process in a Hilbert space V such that $(L(t)v^* : t \geqslant 0)$ is the sum of its jumps for all $v^* \in V^*$, i.e. $L(t)v^* = \sum_{0\leqslant s\leqslant t} \Delta L(s)v^*$. Let Y be a solution of (7.1) and assume that Y has càdlàg trajectories and is a semi-martingale in V. It follows for every $v^* \in \mathcal{D}(A^*)$ that

$$L(1)v^* = \sum_{s\in[0,1]} \Delta L(s)v^* = \sum_{s\in[0,1]} \langle \Delta Y(s), v^*\rangle \leqslant \|v^*\|^2 \sum_{s\in[0,1]} \|\Delta Y(s)\|^2 .$$

Since Y is a semi-martingale, it obeys

$$\sum_{s\in[0,1]} \|\Delta Y(s)\|^2 < \infty \quad P\text{-a.s.},$$

see [18, Cor.26.8]. Since $\mathcal{D}(A^*)$ is dense in V it follows that

$$\sup_{\|v^*\|\leqslant 1} |L(1)v^*| < \infty \quad P\text{-a.s.},$$

which implies by [33, Pro.II.1.2] that there exists a V-valued random variable X such that $L(1)v^* = \langle X, v^*\rangle$ for all v^*. It follows that L is induced by a genuine Lévy process.

Our methodology, based on cylindrical measures and cylindrical random variables, enables us to conclude easily a first regularity property: every solution Y of (7.1) has a cylindrical càdlàg modification in $\mathcal{D}(A^*)$. For, according to the very Definition 6.1 the solution Y satisfies P-a.s.

$$\langle Y(t), v^*\rangle = \langle y_0, v^*\rangle + \int_0^t \langle Y(s), A^*v^*\rangle\, ds + L(t)(v^*) \qquad \text{for all } v^* \in \mathcal{D}(A^*).$$

By the definition of a cylindrical Lévy process, the real-valued stochastic process $(L(t)v^* : t \geqslant 0)$ is a Lévy process and thus, it has a càdlàg modification.

Our first result meets the common expectation, that by enlarging the underlying space the cylindrical Lévy process becomes a classical Lévy process and thus, the stochastic integral coincides with a classical stochastic integral. We are not aware of this result in the literature for one of the specific examples of cylindrical Lévy processes, and even more not for the general cylindrical Lévy process. The rather short proof combines two less well known results.

Proposition 8.3. *Let L be a cylindrical Lévy process in a separable Hilbert space V and $(S(t))_{t\geqslant 0}$ be a strongly continuous semigroup in V. Then there exists a separable Hilbert space H, a strongly continuous semigroup $(\tilde{S}(t))_{t\geqslant 0}$ in H and a genuine Lévy process $\tilde{L}$ in H such that*

(a) *the embedding $i : V \to H$ is dense and Hilbert-Schmidt;*

(b) *$i \circ S(t) = \tilde{S}(t) \circ i$ for all $t \geqslant 0$;*

(c) *$i\left(\int_0^t S(t-s)\,dL(s)\right) = \int_0^t \tilde{S}(t-s)\,\tilde{L}(ds)$ P-a.s. for all $t \geqslant 0$.*

Proof. Proposition 2.3 in [10] gives an explicit construction of a Hilbert space H such that (a) and (b) are satisfied. On the other hand, consider the mapping

$$L : V^* \to S(\Omega;\mathbb{R}), \qquad Lv^* = (L(t)v^* : t \geqslant 0),$$

where $S(\Omega;\mathbb{R})$ is the space of real-valued semi-martingales, equipped with the Emery topology. It follows from (3.7) that the mapping L is continuous in the weaker topology of uniform convergence in probability, and thus the closed mapping theorem guarantees the continuity of L, that is L is a cylindrical semi-martingale. Since i is Hilbert-Schmidt, Theorem A in [14] implies that there exists a classical semi-martingale $(\tilde{L}(t) : t \geqslant 0)$ in H such that $(L(t)(i^*h^*) : t \geqslant 0)$ and $(\langle \tilde{L}(t), h^*\rangle : t \geqslant 0)$ are indistinguishable for every $h^* \in H$. It follows that $\tilde{L}$ is a classical Lévy process in H.
By considering first simple functions and then passing to the limit it follows from property (b) for every $t \geqslant 0$ that P-a.s.

$$\int_0^t \tilde{S}(t-s)\,d\tilde{L}(s) = \int_0^t \tilde{S}(t-s)\circ i\,dL(s) = \int_0^t i\circ S(t-s)\,dL(s) = i\int_0^t S(t-s)\,dL(s),$$

where all stochastic integrals are considered in the sense of Definition 5.4. □

The integrals in part (c) of Proposition 8.3 are considered in the sense of Definition 5.4. However, since $\tilde{L}$ is a genuine Lévy process in the Hilbert space H it is easy to see that the stochastic integral coincides with the classical definition introduced for example in [24].

Example 8.4. Let $(S(t))_{t\geqslant 0}$ be an exponentially stable semigroup on a separable Hilbert space V and let L be a cylindrical Lévy process with weak second moments, that is $E[|L(1)v^*|^2] < \infty$ for all $v^* \in V^*$. Then the solution Y of (7.1) has a càdlàg modification in the larger Hilbert space H, derived in Proposition 8.3.
For, in this case, the semigroup $(\tilde{S}(t))_{t\geqslant 0}$ in H in Proposition 8.3 turns out to be exponentially stable and contractive (see [10, Cor.2.6]). The existence of the weak moments of L implies that the classical Lévy process $\tilde{L}$ in H has second strong moments, since Hilbert-Schmidt operators are radonifying. Consequently, Theorem 9.24 in [24] implies that the stochastic process

$$\left(\int_0^t \tilde{S}(t-s)\,\tilde{L}(ds) : t \in [0,T]\right)$$

has a càdlàg modification in H, which establishes the claim of this example.

There is only one positive result in the literature which guarantees the existence of a càdlàg modification for the solution of (7.1), see [24, Th.3.1]. In the classical situation, where the driving noise is a genuine V-valued Lévy process, the existence of a càdlàg modification of the solution can be either derived from the Kotelenz inequality or by a method introduced by Hausenblas and Seidler in [11]. The latter approach is based on the dilation theorem by Sz.-Nagy et al, see [32]. Surprisingly, a careful analysis of this proof provides the following result.

Theorem 8.5. *Let L be a cylindrical Lévy process in a separable Hilbert space V and let $(S(t))_{t\geqslant 0}$ be a contraction semigroup on V such that $s\mapsto S^*(s)$ is stochastically integrable on $[0,t]$ w.r.t. L for all $t\in[0,T]$. Define a V-valued stochastic process X by*

$$X(t) := \int_0^t S^*(s)\,dL(s) \qquad \text{for all } t\in[0,T].$$

Then the following are equivalent:

(a) *the stochastic process X has a càdlàg modification;*

(b) *the solution of (7.1) has a càdlàg modification.*

Proof. If $(S(t))_{t\geqslant 0}$ is a contraction semigroup, then $(S^*(t))_{t\geqslant 0}$ is also a contraction semigroup and we can define $S(t) := S^*(-t)$ for $t\leqslant 0$. It follows that $(S(t))_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ is a positive-definite mapping with $S(0)=\mathrm{Id}$, see [32, p.29]. Theorem 7.1 in [32] implies that there exists a Hilbert space H, with V isometrically embedded in H, and a unitary, strongly continuous group $(R(t))_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ on H such that $S(t)v=\pi R(t)v$ for all $t\in\mathbb{R}$ and $v\in V$, where $\pi: H\to V$ denotes the orthogonal projection. If Y denotes the solution of (7.1), we obtain for every $t\in[0,T]$ that

$$Y(t)=\int_0^t S(t-s)\,dL(s)=\pi\left(R(t)\int_0^t R(-s)\,dL(s)\right)=\pi\left(R(t)X(t)\right). \tag{8.1}$$

It follows that (a) implies (b). For the converse implication, recall that V is isometrically embedded in H and the operators $R(t)$, $t\geqslant 0$ are invertible. ☐

The following corollary to Theorem 8.5 improves significantly the result in [25].

Corollary 8.6. *Let V be a Hilbert space with orthonormal basis $(e_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ and let L be a cylindrical Lévy process with weak second moments of the form*

$$L(t)v^* = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\langle e_k, v^*\rangle \ell_k(t) \qquad \text{for all } t\geqslant 0, v^*\in V,$$

where $(\ell_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ are independent, real-valued, square-integrable Lévy processes. Let the generator A be a self-adjoint operator such that $Ae_k=-\lambda_k e_k$ for $\lambda_k>0$. If

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{Var(\ell_k(1))}{\lambda_k}<\infty, \tag{8.2}$$

then the solution of Y of (7.1) has a càdlàg modification in V.

Proof. Let (p, Q, ν) denote the cylindrical characteristics of L. The existence of the weak second moments guarantees by [1, Co.3.12] that the cylindrical Lévy process L can be represented by

$$L(t)v^* = \langle \tilde{p}, v^* \rangle t + \tilde{L}(t)v^* \qquad \text{for all } v^* \in V\text{'}t \geqslant 0, \tag{8.3}$$

where $\tilde{p} \in V$ and $\tilde{L}$ is a cylindrical martingale with weak second moments. Since the operators $S(t)$ are self-adjoint and $t \mapsto S(t)$ is stochastically integrable by Proposition 6.4 we can define

$$X(t) := \int_0^t S^*(s)\, dL(s) = \int_0^t S(s)\, dL(s) \qquad \text{for all } t \in [0, T].$$

Because of the decomposition (8.3) it follows that

$$X(t) = \int_0^t S(s)\tilde{p}\, ds + \int_0^t S(s)\, d\tilde{L}(s) \qquad \text{for all } t \in [0, T].$$

Since $t \mapsto S(t)\tilde{p}$ is Bochner integrable, the first integral even has continuous paths and it remains to show that the stochastic integral has càdlàg paths. It follows that

$$I(t) := \int_0^t S(s)\, d\tilde{L}(s) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\int_0^t S^*(s) e_k\, d\tilde{L}(s) \right) e_k = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\int_0^t e^{-\lambda_k s}\, d\tilde{\ell}_k(s) \right) e_k, \tag{8.4}$$

where the sum converges P-a.s. and $\tilde{\ell}_k(\cdot) := \tilde{L}(\cdot)e_k$. Since the summands on the right hand side in 8.4 are independent, V-valued random variables a result by Hoffmann-Jorgensen ([12, Cor.3.3.]) guarantees, that the sum converges in $L^2_P(\Omega; V)$ if and only if $E[\|I(t)\|^2] < \infty$. This condition is satisfied since

$$\begin{aligned} E[\|I(t)\|^2] &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} E\left[\left| \int_0^t e^{-\lambda_k s}\, d\tilde{\ell}_k(s) \right|^2 \right] \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\langle Q e_k, e_k \rangle \int_0^t e^{-2\lambda_k s}\, ds + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-2\lambda_k s} \beta^2 \,(\nu \circ e_k^{-1})(d\beta)\, ds \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2\lambda_k} \left(1 - e^{-2t\lambda_k}\right) E\left[\left| \tilde{\ell}_k(1) \right|^2 \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{8.5}$$

It follows that the sum on the right hand side in (8.4) converges to $I(t)$ in $L^2_P(\Omega; V)$ for every $t \in [0, T]$. Since the summands are martingales in V it follows that $(I(t) : t \in [0, T])$ is a martingale in V. The same calculation as in (8.5) shows for $0 \leqslant t_1 \leqslant t_2 \leqslant T$ that

$$E[\|I(t_2) - I(t_1)\|^2] = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2\lambda_k} \left(e^{-2t_1\lambda_k} - e^{-2t_2\lambda_k} \right) E\left[\left| \tilde{\ell}_k(1) \right|^2 \right].$$

Lebesgue's theorem of dominated convergence implies that I is continuous in mean-square. Consequently, Doob's regularisation theorem (see [24, Th.3.12]) guarantees that I has a càdlàg modification which completes the proof by Theorem 8.5. □

Example 8.7. Let ℓ_k be of the form $\sigma_k h_k$ where $(h_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a family of independent, real-valued, compensated Poisson process of intensity 1, see Example 4.4. In this case, Condition (8.2) is satisfied if

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma_k^2}{\lambda_k} < \infty.$$

Thus, if $\sigma_k = k^{-\kappa}$ and $\gamma_k = k^{\alpha}$ we obtain that there exists a càdlàg modification if $2\kappa + \alpha > 1$ which coincides with Example 3.4 in [24]. However, our condition (8.2) is in general much weaker.

For the noise introduced in Example 4.5 it is shown in the publication [17] that equation (7.1) cannot have a solution with càdlàg trajectories for every self-adjoint generator with negative eigenvalues. In view of Corollary 8.6 we can now interpret this result as telling us that the lack of second moments of the cylindrical noise prevents the sum in (8.4) to converge "well" enough such that I has càdlàg paths. In the article [4] the authors establish for the subordinated cylindrical Levy process, introduced in Example 4.7, that the solution of equation (7.1) cannot have càdlàg trajectories. In this case, the cylindrical noise cannot be represented by a sum (see our remark after Example 4.7) and thus, the process X defined in Theorem 8.5 is not of such a simple form as in Corollary 8.6, see in particular (8.4). In this case, it is not the non-existing moments but the complicated structure of the cylindrical noise with strongly dependent projections along the basis which prevents the process X in Theorem 8.5 to have a modification with càdlàg trajectories.

Acknowledgements

The author thanks Dave Applebaum for some very helpful comments and discussions.

References

[1] D. Applebaum and M. Riedle. Cylindrical Lévy processes in Banach spaces. *Proc. of Lond. Math. Soc.*, 101(3):697–726, 2010.

[2] A. Badrikian. *Séminaire sur les fonctions aléatoires linéaires et les mesures cylindriques.* Lect. Notes Math. 139. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1970.

[3] Z. Brzeźniak, B. Goldys, P. Imkeller, S. Peszat, E. Priola, and J. Zabczyk. Time irregularity of generalized Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes. *C. R., Math., Acad. Sci. Paris*, 348(5-6):273–276, 2010.

[4] Z. Brzeźniak and J. Zabczyk. Regularity of Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes driven by a Lévy white noise. *Potential Anal.*, 32(2):153–188, 2010.

[5] G. Da Prato and J. Zabczyk. *Stochastic Equations in Infinite Dimensions.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1992.

[6] A. P. de Araujo. On infinitely divisible laws in $C[0, 1]$. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.*, 51:179–185, 1975.

[7] A. P. de Araujo and E. Giné. Type, cotype and Levy measures in Banach spaces. *Ann. Probab.*, 6:637–643, 1978.

[8] N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz. *Linear operators. Part I: General theory.* New York: John Wiley & Sons Ltd., 1988.

[9] E.B. Dynkin. *Die Grundlagen der Theorie der Markoffschen Prozesse.* Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1961.

[10] M. Fuhrman and M. Röckner. Generalized Mehler semigroups: The non-Gaussian case. *Potential Anal.*, 12(1):1–47, 2000.

[11] E. Hausenblas and J. Seidler. Stochastic convolutions driven by martingales: Maximal inequalities and exponential integrability. *Stochastic Anal. Appl.*, 26(1):98–119, 2008.

[12] J. Hoffmann-Jorgensen. Sums of independent Banach space valued random variables. *Studia Math.*, 52:159–186, 1974.

[13] J. Jacod and A. N. Shiryaev. *Limit theorems for stochastic processes.* Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 2003.

[14] A. Jakubowski, S. Kwapień, P. Raynaud de Fitte, and J. Rosiński. Radonification of cylindrical semimartingales by a single Hilbert-Schmidt operator. *Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top.*, 5(3):429–440, 2002.

[15] V.V. Jurinskii. On infinitely divisible distributions. *Theory Probab. Appl.*, 19:297–308, 1974.

[16] W. Linde. *Infinitely divisible and stable measures on Banach spaces.* Leipzig: BSB B. G. Teubner Verlagsgesellschaft, 1983.

[17] Y. Liu and J. Zhai. A note on time regularity of generalized Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes with cylindrical stable noise. *C. R., Math., Acad. Sci. Paris*, 350(1-2):97–100, 2012.

[18] M. Metivier. *Semimartingales: A course on stochastic processes.* Berlin: de Gruyter, 1982.

[19] M. Métivier and J. Pellaumail. Cylindrical stochastic integral. *Sém. de l'Université de Rennes*, 63:1–28, 1976.

[20] M. Métivier and J. Pellaumail. *Stochastic integration.* New York: Academic Press, 1980.

[21] R. Mikulevičius and B.L. Rozovskiĭ. Normalized stochastic integrals in topological vector spaces. *Séminaire de probabilités XXXII. Berlin: Springer. Lect. Notes Math.*, 1686:137–165, 1998.

[22] R. Mikulevičius and B.L. Rozovskiĭ. Martingale problems for stochastic PDE's. Carmona, René A. (ed.) et al., Stochastic partial differential equations: six perspectives. Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society. Math. Surv. Monogr. 64, 243-325, 1999.

[23] K.R. Parthasarathy. *Probability measures on metric spaces.* New York-London: Academic Press, 1967.

[24] S. Peszat and J. Zabczyk. *Stochastic partial differential equations with Lévy noise. An evolution equation approach.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2007.

[25] S. Peszat and J. Zabczyk. Time regularity of solutions to linear equations with Lévy noise in infinite dimensions. *to appear in Stochastic Processes and their Applications*, 2012.

[26] E. Priola and J. Zabczyk. Structural properties of semilinear SPDEs driven by cylindrical stable processes. *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields*, 149(1-2):97–137, 2011.

[27] M. Riedle. Cylindrical Wiener processes. Séminaire de Probabilités XLIII, Berlin: Springer. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 2006, 191-214, 2011.

[28] M. Riedle. Infinitely divisible cylindrical measures on Banach spaces. *Stud. Math.*, 207(3):235–256, 2011.

[29] M. Riedle. Stochastic integration with respect to cylindrical Levy processes in Hilbert spaces: an L^2 approach. *preprint*, 2012.

[30] K.-I. Sato. *Lévy processes and infinitely divisible distributions.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1999.

[31] L. Schwartz. *Radon measures on arbitrary topological spaces and cylindrical measures.* London: Oxford University Press, 1973.

[32] B. Sz.-Nagy, C. Foias, H. Bercovici, and L. Kérchy. *Harmonic analysis of operators on Hilbert space. 2nd revised and enlarged ed.* New York, NY: Springer, 2010.

[33] N.N. Vakhaniya, V.I. Tarieladze, and S.A. Chobanyan. *Probability distributions on Banach spaces.* D. Reidel Publishing Company, 1987.

[34] J.M.A.M. van Neerven and L. Weis. Stochastic integration of functions with values in a Banach space. *Stud. Math.*, 166(2):131–170, 2005.

[35] J.M.A.M. van Neerven and L. Weis. Weak limits and integrals of Gaussian covariances in Banach spaces. *Probab. Math. Stat.*, 25(1):55–74, 2005.